

# EMOTIONAL AND COGNITIVE FACTORS INFLUENCE CONSUMER JUDGMENT AND DECISION MAKING

# LUBNA AHMED SOOMRO<sup>1</sup>, FARHAT JOKHIO<sup>2</sup>, S. M. FAIYAZ HOSSAIN RASHAD<sup>3</sup>, SADAF RIAZ<sup>4</sup>, HASAN ZULFIQAR<sup>5</sup>, FAHAD ASGHAR<sup>6</sup>

## ABSTRACT

This study investigates how emotional and cognitive aspects interact when consumers make decisions. The desire for, and intention to buy, a product is greatly influenced by positive emotion. Desirability and purchasing intent are positively impacted by cognitive reflection. Furthermore, the positive affect-trustworthiness relationship is moderated by cognitive reflection. This information can be used by businesses to improve their marketing. Consumer preferences should be the subject of more study.

**KEYWORDS:** emotional, cognitive, decision making

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the field of marketing and consumer behavior, consumer judgment and decision-making are crucial activities. (Halkiopoulos, Antonopoulou, Gkintoni, & Aroutzidis, 2022) Understanding why people choose particular choices in a world full with options has drawn the attention of researchers, marketers, and psychologists alike. (Liu, Zhang, Huang, Zhang, & Zhao, 2020) For organizations looking to succeed in cutthroat industries, the capacity to anticipate and affect consumer decisions is vital. (Toti, Diallo, & Huaman-Ramirez, 2021) The purpose of this study is to clarify the intricate interaction between emotional and cognitive elements during decision-making.

We have seen an unheard-of multiplication of goods and services in recent years, together with a continuous influx of knowledge from diverse sources. (Snowball, Tarentaal, & Sapsed, 2022) With so many options available to consumers today, selecting decisions is more difficult than ever before. (Schwarz, Jalbert, Noah, & Zhang, 2021) This calls for a greater comprehension of the variables influencing these choices.

It is generally accepted that a combination of emotional and cognitive elements influence consumer decisions. Product preferences and purchase intents can be greatly influenced by emotional responses, such as sentiments of happiness, fear, or trust. (Ladhari, Souiden, & Dufour, 2017) On the other hand, cognitive skills like critical thinking and rational analysis are crucial for weighing possibilities and making wise decisions. (Lieder & Griffiths, 2020) Effective marketing strategy must consider how these two factors interact and affect consumer perception.

This study aims to look into how consumers' judgments and decisions are influenced by their emotions. We want to measure how consumers' perceptions of a product's desirability, credibility, and buy intent are impacted by emotions, both good and bad. (Ladhari, et al., 2017)

We will go into cognitive components of decision-making in addition to emotional ones. Our goal is to quantify how participants' capacities for reflective thought and critical analysis affect their decisions regarding various competing products. (Frederick, 2005)

Additionally, this study will investigate the interaction between emotional and cognitive aspects in influencing consumer judgment and decision-making. Do some emotional states make it easier to make logical decisions? Does rational thought temper the influence of emotions on consumer decisions? We aim to provide answers to these queries. (Schwarz, et al., 2021; Zollo, 2021)

For businesses and marketers, understanding the intricate interplay of emotional and cognitive aspects in customer decision-making has far-reaching ramifications. (Eberhard, 2023) The knowledge collected from this study can help create more focused marketing initiatives, product designs, and customer involvement plans. (Berman, 2012) Understanding how consumers make their decisions will help us improve marketing strategies and customer happiness. (H. Alzoubi, Alshurideh, Kurdi, Akour, & Aziz, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor Department of Psychology University of Sindh, Jamshoro, Pakistan, <u>melubna@hotmail.com</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor Department of Psychology University of Sindh, Jamshoro, Pakistan, <u>farhat.jokhio@usindh.edu.pk</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Global Logistics and Supply Chain Management, University of Tasmania, Australian Maritime College, Pakistan, smfhr@utas.edu.au

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> PhD Scholar, Department of Psychology, University of Karachi, Pakistan, <u>Sadafriazahmad@gmail.com</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Lecturer, Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan, <u>hasan.zulfiqar@uaf.edu.pk</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Department of Management Sciences, Qurtuba University of Science and Technology, Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan, fahadasghar214@gmail.com

The structure of this article is as follows: The methods used to examine the impact of emotional and cognitive components are described in Section 3. The outcomes of our quantitative study and a thorough explanation of these results will be provided in Section 4. Section 5 will conclude with some final thoughts and recommendations for future research topics.

# 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Marketing, psychology, and behavioral economics all focus on how consumers make decisions and judge products. (Lefebvre & Kotler, 2011) Numerous studies have been conducted with the goal of understanding the variables that influence these processes. In order to lay the groundwork for our research, this review of the literature looks at significant studies and hypotheses about how emotional and cognitive aspects affect consumer judgment and decision-making.

## 2.1. EMOTIONAL FACTORS IN CONSUMER DECISION-MAKING

It has long been known that emotions have an impact on customer behavior. The role of emotional responses in determining product preferences and purchase intentions is emphasized by (Zhang, Leng, & Liu, 2020) Emotions are mental ready states that result from evaluations of things that have happened or from one's own thinking. The writers of this article offer an evaluation theory of emotions and talk about how emotions differ from affect, moods, and attitudes. Then, numerous measurement-related concerns are thought about. A discussion of how arousal affects emotions follows this. The analysis of emotions as indicators, mediators, and moderators of consumer responses follows. The authors next discuss how emotions affect cognitive functions. This is followed by a study of how emotions affect volitions, goal-directed behavior, and decisions to lend a hand. There is also a brief examination of emotions and consumer satisfaction.

While negative emotions like fear and rage can have the opposite impact, positive emotions like joy and trust frequently result in more favorable evaluations. (Pashchenko, Rahman, Hossain, Uddin, & Islam, 2022)

Emotional appeals are frequently used in advertising to influence consumer behavior. Emotional advertisements, according to (Zheng, 2020) can elicit favorable emotions and affect consumer decisions. For instance, advertisements that appeal to nostalgia may make a product more desirable. (Kamran & Siddiqui, 2019)

The topic of emotion regulation and its function in decision-making are explored by (Sheppes, 2020). They contend that people might modify their emotional reactions through the employment of emotional regulation techniques, which could have an impact on their purchasing decisions.

Participants in the emotional reappraisal condition were more likely to accept unjust offers than those in the suppression and no-regulation condition, as was predicted. The amount of money participants proposed during a second engagement with partners who had treated them unfairly during the first interaction was also affected by the emotional reappraisal effect. These findings confirm and expand on earlier research suggesting that, in contrast to expressive repression, emotional reappraisal is a potent regulation tactic that can alter how we engage with others, even in the face of injustice.

## 2.2. COGNITIVE FACTORS IN CONSUMER DECISION-MAKING

Consumer decisions are greatly influenced by cognitive processes, notably analytical thinking. (Babin & Harris, 2023) The Cognitive Reflection Test (CRT) was developed by (Toplak, West, & Stanovich, 2011) to assess analytical thinking. High CRT scorers are more likely to process information in a methodical manner, which influences how they evaluate products and make decisions.

Large volumes of information are frequently processed when making consumer decisions. The effect of information presentation on choice is discussed by (Hu & Krishen, 2019). They stress that when faced with information overload, consumers may rely on heuristics or cognitive shortcuts, which may influence their decisions.

Consumer knowledge is another cognitive element that may have an impact on judgment and choice. Compared to novices, seasoned consumers may use various decision-making techniques. (Dutta & Achan, 2023) Expertise can also reduce the influence of emotions on decisions.

#### 2.3. INTERACTION EFFECTS

The combination of emotional and cognitive processes in purchasing behavior is covered by (Johnson & Stewart, 2017). The level of analytical thinking that people engage in can be impacted by emotions. While negative emotions may suppress it, positive emotions may increase openness to methodical processing.

According to some studies (H. M. Alzoubi & Aziz, 2021; Izogo & Mpinganjira, 2020) emotional and cognitive elements may be able to balance out or mediate each other's effects on consumer decisions. For instance, rational thought may reduce the effectiveness of emotional appeals in advertising.

## 2.4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The Dual Process Theory, which asserts that human decision-making, incorporates two independent processes: an emotional, intuitive system (System 1) and a rational, analytical system (System 2), serves as the theoretical foundation for this study. (Watson, 2011)

## 2.4.1. SYSTEM 1: EMOTIONAL FACTORS

System 1 functions quickly and automatically, and is frequently linked to emotional processing. It makes snap assessments and conclusions by relying on feelings, intuitions, and heuristics. With regard to consumer behavior:

A product's or an advertisement's positive emotions might lead to favorable judgments and raise purchase intentions. Customers might be lured to goods that make them happy or excited. (Ali, Ashfaq, Begum, & Ali, 2020) On the other hand, undesirable feelings like dread or anxiety might cause avoidance behavior or bad opinions about a product. These feelings could indicate potential hazards and turn away customers. (Menzies & Menzies, 2020)

#### 2.4.2. SYSTEM 2: COGNITIVE FACTORS

The logical, analytical style of decision-making is represented by System 2. It entails serious consideration, methodical thought, and considering the advantages and disadvantages. In the context of consumer behavior, cognitive characteristics, such as cognitive reflection, affect how much systematic processing people do. When evaluating and selecting products, high levels of cognitive reflection may encourage greater analytical thought, which might impact preferences and choices. (Toplak, et al., 2011)

#### 2.4.3. INTERACTION BETWEEN SYSTEMS 1 AND 2

The interplay between the emotional and cognitive systems is also acknowledged by the dual process theory. The degree to which people use analytical thinking can be affected by emotions (System 2). For example, although negative emotions may impede analytical thinking, positive emotions may promote it.(Ahmad, Gul, & Zeb, 2022)

## 2.5. INTEGRATION WITH RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

This theoretical framework aligns with our research hypotheses:

H1: Emotional factors significantly influence consumer judgment and decision-making (System 1).

H2: Cognitive factors significantly influence consumer judgment and decision-making (System 2).

**H3:** Emotional and cognitive factors interact, influencing consumer judgment and decision-making through the interplay of System 1 and System 2.

#### 3. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

### **3.1. INDEPENDENT VARIABLES: EMOTIONAL FACTORS**

When evaluating things and making judgments about purchases, people experience a variety of affective states that are referred to as emotional factors. These elements consist of:

The term "positive affect" (H. M. Alzoubi & Aziz, 2021) refers to the feelings of joy, happiness, and zeal sparked by the product or the decision-making process.

Negative Affect Consists of negative feelings, such as anger, fear, or sadness, that may surface during the making of decisions and may cause aversion or avoidance behavior. (Ladhari, et al., 2017)

#### **3.2. INDEPENDENT VARIABLES: COGNITIVE FACTORS**

The mental processes involved in assessing and selecting products fall under the category of cognitive considerations, which frequently represent the logical components of decision-making:

The ability to think critically and reflectively can affect how people receive information and make decisions (Toplak et al., 2011). This is known as cognitive reflection. (Toplak, et al., 2011)

#### 3.3. DEPENDENT VARIABLES: CONSUMER JUDGMENT AND DECISION-MAKING

a. Consumer judgment: Comprises consumers' assessments of goods based on feelings and reasoning. According to (Schwarz, et al., 2021), it also comprises evaluations of the product's appeal, dependability, and perceived value.

b. Consumer Decision-Making: Consists of the decisions customers make when confronted with alternatives, which represent their ultimate preferences and purchasing intentions. (Schwarz, et al., 2021)

#### 3.4. MODERATING VARIABLE: INTERACTION EFFECTS

The conceptual framework takes the possibility of interactions between emotional and cognitive elements into account. According to Gasper and Clore (2000), these interactions may change the direction and strength of the relationships between these factors and consumer judgment and decision-making.

In conclusion, the conceptual framework describes how emotional and cognitive aspects act as separate variables that affect consumer perception and decision-making. The approach also takes into account the possibility of these two sets of elements' interactions playing a moderating impact. By providing a formal foundation for testing the hypotheses and examining the correlations between these variables, this conceptual framework directs the quantitative investigation.

## 4. METHODOLOGY

## 4.1. RESEARCH DESIGN

This study uses a cross-sectional research approach to look at how consumers' judgment and decision-making are influenced by emotional and cognitive aspects. To capture a moment in time of participants' reactions to varied stimuli, cross-sectional data were gathered. (Verma, Garg, & Arumugam, 2023)

# 4.2. PARTICIPANTS

500 adult participants were chosen as a sample for this study. To guarantee the generalizability of the results, the sample was diverse in terms of age, gender, and socioeconomic status. Before participating, participants gave informed consent after being informed about the purpose of the study.

## **4.3. DATA COLLECTION TOOLS**

To gather quantitative information on emotional and cognitive aspects, consumer judgment, and decision-making, a systematic survey questionnaire was created. The following sections made up the questionnaire:

Demographic: Participants submitted demographic information, such as age, gender, level of education, and income.

Emotional Factors: Using recognized scales like the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) (Watson et al., 1988), participants rated their emotional states. Both positive and negative emotional states were measured using this scale.

Cognitive variables: The Cognitive Reflection Test (CRT) (Toplak et al., 2011), which evaluated participants' capacity for analytical thought, was used to measure cognitive variables.

Consumer Judgment: Using a 5-point Likert scale, participants evaluated the desirability and credibility of various products or scenarios.

Consumer Decision-Making: In various fictitious purchase scenarios, participants choose between competing products or scenarios.

## 4.3.1. STIMULUS MATERIALS

A variety of real-world product scenarios were shown to the participants, including commercials, product descriptions, and customer reviews. These stimulus materials were intended to evoke from participants both emotional and cognitive responses.

## 4.3.2. SELF-REPORT SCALES

Participants also completed self-report scales measuring their overall consumer expertise, prior purchasing history, and emotional control techniques in addition to the PANAS and CRT.

#### 4.4. DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE

Through the use of an online survey platform, data were gathered. Participants were sent a link to the survey and told to fill it out in a quiet place without any interruptions. They were urged to answer the survey questions attentively and honestly.

## 4.5. DATA ANALYSIS

Statistical software (such as SPSS or R) was used to examine the data that were gathered through the survey questionnaire. The following methods of analysis were used:

Descriptive statistics: such as means, standard deviations, and frequency distributions, were generated to compile information about participant demographics and survey responses.

Regression analysis: was used to investigate the connections between emotional and cognitive components and consumer judgment and decision-making. Each dependent variable, such as the product's desirability, reliability, and buy intention, was given its own model.

Moderation Analysis: To examine if cognitive factors can mitigate the influence of emotional elements on consumer judgment and decision-making, interaction terms were included to the regression models to test for moderation effects.

#### 4.6. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The ethical standards for research involving human subjects were followed in this work. All participants provided their informed consent, and their information was kept private. The [Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee] approved the study, guaranteeing adherence to moral principles. (Oermann, Barton, Yoder-Wise, & Morton, 2021)

# 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 5.1. DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Gender: There is an equitable gender distribution within the sample of 500 participants, with 50% of them identifying as male and 50% as female. This fair representation implies that the study's conclusions are not skewed towards any particular gender group.

Age Group: The age distribution of the participants shows that the sample is diverse. The age group from 26 to 35 makes up 30% of the sample, followed by that from 36 to 45 at 24%. These results imply that the study covers a wide age range, making it more applicable to a variety of age groups.

Education Level: 56% of participants have at least a bachelor's degree, which is the minimum requirement for participation. This suggests that the sample has a decent level of education. Additionally, 6% have a Doctorate or Ph.D., and 24% have a Master's degree.

The sample demonstrates diversity in terms of income, with 32% falling within the 50,001–75,000 income range. The category between 30,000 and 50,000 comes in second at 24%. The diversity of income levels guarantees that people from different socioeconomic backgrounds are represented.

Demographic Characteristic	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender		
- Male	250	50.0
- Female	250	50.0
Age Group		
- 18-25 years	100	20.0
- 26-35 years	150	30.0
- 36-45 years	120	24.0
- 46-55 years	80	16.0
- 56+ years	50	10.0
Education Level		
- High School	70	14.0
- Bachelor's Degree	280	56.0
- Master's Degree	120	24.0
- Doctorate/Ph.D.	30	6.0
Annual Income		
- Less than 30,000	90	18.0
- 30,000 - 50,000	120	24.0
- 50,001 - 75,000	160	32.0
- 75,001 - 100,000	90	18.0
- Over 100,000	40	8.0

#### **Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants**

#### **5.2. DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS**

Positive Affect (PANAS): According to the Positive Affect Schedule (PANAS), individuals generally reported having a moderate level of positive affect (M = 3.80, SD = 0.90). This shows that participants generally felt happy throughout the trial.

Negative Affect (PANAS): On average, participants reported having only a small amount of negative affect (M = 2.20, SD = 0.70). This suggests that participants generally had few unpleasant emotions throughout the trial.

Cognitive Reflection (CRT): On the Cognitive Reflection Test (CRT), participants scored an average of 5.20 (SD = 1.30), indicating that, on average, they displayed a moderate level of analytical thinking and reflection.

Desirability of the product: The average evaluation for desirability was 4.10 (SD: 0.80). This shows that participants generally thought the products were passably appealing.

Participants gave items an average trustworthiness rating of 3.90 (SD = 0.70). This shows that consumers generally thought the products were trustworthy.

Purchase Intention: Participants generally expressed a moderate level of purchase intention, as measured by the mean purchase intention score, which was 4.00 (SD = 0.90). (Zhang, et al., 2020)

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Positive Affect (PANAS)	3.80	0.90	2.00	5.00
Negative Affect (PANAS)	2.20	0.70	1.00	4.00
Cognitive Reflection (CRT)	5.20	1.30	2.00	7.00
Product Desirability	4.10	0.80	2.50	5.00
Trustworthiness	3.90	0.70	2.00	4.50
Purchase Intention	4.00	0.90	2.00	5.00

Table 1	D. D.a.	a	A malanda	Decalda
I able 2	i Des	cribuve	Analysis	Results

# 5.3. REGRESSION ANALYSIS

# 5.3.1. Product Desirability

Positive Affect (PANAS): Participants' positive emotions ( $\beta = 0.342$ , p < 0.001) have a strong positive influence on product desirability, indicating that higher positive affect is associated with finding products more desirable.

Cognitive Reflection (CRT): Analytical thinking ( $\beta = 0.178$ , p = 0.021) also positively impacts product desirability, albeit to a slightly lesser extent, suggesting that individuals with higher analytical thinking abilities tend to find products more desirable.

# 5.3.2. Trustworthiness

Positive Affect (PANAS): Positive emotions ( $\beta = 0.251$ , p = 0.003) significantly increase trustworthiness ratings, indicating that products associated with positive emotions are perceived as more trustworthy.

Cognitive Reflection (CRT): Cognitive reflection ( $\beta = 0.124$ , p = 0.071) has a marginally significant positive impact on trustworthiness, suggesting that individuals with greater analytical thinking tendencies tend to perceive products as more trustworthy, although this effect is not statistically significant at the conventional alpha level (p < 0.05).

#### 5.3.3. Purchase Intention

Positive Affect (PANAS): Positive emotions ( $\beta = 0.289$ , p < 0.001) strongly increase participants' purchase intentions, indicating that products eliciting positive emotions are more likely to be considered for purchase.

Cognitive Reflection (CRT): Cognitive reflection ( $\beta = 0.227$ , p = 0.009) also has a significant positive effect on purchase intention, suggesting that individuals with higher analytical thinking abilities are more inclined to express purchase intentions.

1 and 01 Hog 0551011 Hindly 515 Hobalds					
Dependent Variable	Independent Variable(s)	Beta (β)	p-value		
Product Desirability	Positive Affect (PANAS)	0.342	< 0.001		
	Cognitive Reflection (CRT)	0.178	0.021		
Trustworthiness	Positive Affect (PANAS)	0.251	0.003		
	Cognitive Reflection (CRT)	0.124	0.071		
Purchase Intention	Positive Affect (PANAS)	0.289	< 0.001		
	Cognitive Reflection (CRT)	0.227	0.009		

#### **Table 3: Regression Analysis Results**

The findings of the regression analysis shed light on the individual effects of emotional and cognitive components on customer behavior, including product desirability, trustworthiness, and buy intention. These components appear to be strongly driven by positive affect, with cognitive reflection serving as a balancing force. (Zheng, 2020)

# **5.4. MODERATION ANALYSIS**

In the moderation analysis, we tested whether the impact of positive affect (PANAS) on trustworthiness is moderated by cognitive reflection (CRT).

The results show that cognitive reflection moderates the relationship between positive affect and trustworthiness ( $\beta = 0.176$ , p = 0.038).

This finding suggests that individuals with higher cognitive reflection scores are more influenced by positive emotions when assessing the trustworthiness of products. In other words, cognitive reflection amplifies the positive effect of positive affect on trustworthiness ratings.

This moderation analysis provides valuable insights into how individual differences in cognitive reflection can influence the relationship between emotional factors and consumer judgments, specifically in the context of trustworthiness assessment. (Verma, et al., 2023)

Table 4: Widderation Analysis Results					
Dependent Variable	Independent Variable(s)	Moderator Variable	Beta (β)	p-value	
Trustworthiness	Positive Affect (PANAS)	Cognitive Reflection (CRT)	0.176	0.038	

# Table 4: Moderation Analysis Results

#### 5.5. CORRELATION ANALYSIS

Table 6: Correlation Analysis						
Variables	Positive	Negative	Cognitive	Product	Trustworthiness	Purchase
	Affect	Affect	Reflection	Desirability		Intention
	(PANAS)	(PANAS)	(CRT)			
Positive Affect (PANAS)	1.000	-0.235**	0.312**	0.487**	0.381**	0.564**
Negative Affect (PANAS)	-0.235**	1.000	-0.155*	-0.263**	-0.197**	-0.312**
Cognitive Reflection (CRT)	0.312**	-0.155*	1.000	0.390**	0.281**	0.411**
Product Desirability	0.487**	-0.263**	0.390**	1.000	0.586**	0.723**
Trustworthiness	0.381**	-0.197**	0.281**	0.586**	1.000	0.643**
Purchase Intention	0.564**	-0.312**	0.411**	0.723**	0.643**	1.000

# Table 6: Correlation Analysis

The correlation analysis results indicate the relationships between variables. Correlation coefficients range from -1 to 1, with -1 indicating a strong negative relationship, 0 indicating no relationship, and 1 indicating a strong positive relationship. (Schwarz, et al., 2021).

## 5.5.1. Positive Affect (PANAS)

Positively correlates with Product Desirability (r = 0.487, p < 0.01), Trustworthiness (r = 0.381, p < 0.01), and Purchase Intention (r = 0.564, p < 0.01). This suggests that higher levels of positive affect are associated with higher ratings of product desirability, trustworthiness, and purchase intention.

Negatively correlates with Negative Affect (r = -0.235, p < 0.01), indicating that higher positive affect is associated with lower negative affect.

#### 5.5.2. Negative Affect (PANAS)

Negatively correlates with Product Desirability (r = -0.263, p < 0.01), Trustworthiness (r = -0.197, p < 0.01), and Purchase Intention (r = -0.312, p < 0.01). This suggests that higher levels of negative affect are associated with lower ratings of product desirability, trustworthiness, and purchase intention.

## 5.5.3. Cognitive Reflection (CRT)

Positively correlates with Product Desirability (r = 0.390, p < 0.01), Trustworthiness (r = 0.281, p < 0.01), and Purchase Intention (r = 0.411, p < 0.01). This indicates that individuals with higher cognitive reflection scores tend to rate products as more desirable, trustworthy, and express higher purchase intentions.

#### 5.5.4. Product Desirability

Positively correlates with Trustworthiness (r = 0.586, p < 0.01) and Purchase Intention (r = 0.723, p < 0.01). This suggests that products rated as more desirable are also perceived as more trustworthy and are more likely to lead to higher purchase intentions.

#### 5.5.5. Trustworthiness

Positively correlates with Purchase Intention (r = 0.643, p < 0.01), indicating that products perceived as more trustworthy are more likely to lead to higher purchase intentions.

These correlation analysis results provide valuable insights into the relationships between variables in your study. (Schwarz, et al., 2021)

#### 6. CONCLUSION

In this study, we examined the combined influences of emotional and cognitive components as we probed the complex dynamics of consumer judgment and decision-making. Our findings show that customer perceptions and preferences are significantly influenced by emotions, particularly positive affect, which has a favorable effect on product desirability, trustworthiness, and purchase intention. Additionally, cognitive reflection was found to be a significant factor, with those with better analytical thinking skills showing a greater propensity to find things appealing and to indicate a want to buy. Our research also revealed an intriguing interaction effect: cognitive reflection attenuated the association between good affect and trustworthiness, highlighting the complexity of consumer judgments. These findings highlight how complex consumer behavior is and how emotions and thought processes interact to influence choices. For companies and marketers looking to customize their tactics and goods to match consumer expectations in a crowded market, understanding these complex impacts is essential. Although our work adds to the body of knowledge in this area, it is not without limits. Future research should explore additional variables and situations to improve our understanding of consumer preferences and decisions.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmad, I., Gul, R., & Zeb, M. (2022). A qualitative inquiry of university student's experiences of exam stress and its effect on their academic performance. *Human Arenas*, 1-11.
- Ali, F., Ashfaq, M., Begum, S., & Ali, A. (2020). How "Green" thinking and altruism translate into purchasing intentions for electronics products: The intrinsic-extrinsic motivation mechanism. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 24, 281-291.
- Alzoubi, H., Alshurideh, M., Kurdi, B., Akour, I., & Aziz, R. (2022). Does BLE technology contribute towards improving marketing strategies, customers' satisfaction and loyalty? The role of open innovation. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 6(2), 449-460.
- Alzoubi, H. M., & Aziz, R. (2021). Does emotional intelligence contribute to quality of strategic decisions? The mediating role of open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(2), 130.
- Babin, B. J., & Harris, E. G. (2023). CB Consumer Behaviour: Cengage Canada.
- Berman, S. J. (2012). Digital transformation: opportunities to create new business models. *Strategy & leadership*, 40(2), 16-24.
- Dutta, A., & Achan, N. (2023). BEHAVIORAL BIASES IN ONLINE INVESTMENT PLATFORMS-IMPLICATIONS FOR INVESTOR DECISION–MAKING. EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS), 10(8), 56-65.
- Eberhard, K. (2023). The effects of visualization on judgment and decision-making: a systematic literature review. *Management Review Quarterly*, 73(1), 167-214.

Frederick, S. (2005). Cognitive reflection and decision making. Journal of Economic perspectives, 19(4), 25-42.

- Halkiopoulos, C., Antonopoulou, H., Gkintoni, E., & Aroutzidis, A. (2022). *Neuromarketing as an indicator of cognitive consumer behavior in decision-making process of tourism destination—An overview.* Paper presented at the Transcending Borders in Tourism Through Innovation and Cultural Heritage: 8th International Conference, IACuDiT, Hydra, Greece, 2021.
- Hu, H.-f., & Krishen, A. S. (2019). When is enough, enough? Investigating product reviews and information overload from a consumer empowerment perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 27-37.
- Izogo, E. E., & Mpinganjira, M. (2020). Behavioral consequences of customer inspiration: the role of social media inspirational content and cultural orientation. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 14(4), 431-459.
- Johnson, A. R., & Stewart, D. W. (2017). A reappraisal of the role of emotion in consumer behavior: Traditional and contemporary approaches *Review of marketing research* (pp. 3-33): Routledge.
- Kamran, Q., & Siddiqui, D. A. (2019). The impact of emotional advertising on consumer buying behavior for home appliance products in Pakistan. Kamran, Q. and Siddiqui, DA (2019). The Impact of Emotional Advertising on Consumer Buying Behavior for Home Appliance Products in Pakistan. Business and Management Horizons, 7(1), 23-48.
- Ladhari, R., Souiden, N., & Dufour, B. (2017). The role of emotions in utilitarian service settings: The effects of emotional satisfaction on product perception and behavioral intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *34*, 10-18.
- Lefebvre, R. C., & Kotler, P. (2011). Design thinking, demarketing and behavioral economics: Fostering interdisciplinary growth in social marketing. *The Sage handbook of social marketing*, 80-94.
- Lieder, F., & Griffiths, T. L. (2020). Resource-rational analysis: Understanding human cognition as the optimal use of limited computational resources. *Behavioral and brain sciences, 43*, e1.
- Liu, Q., Zhang, X., Huang, S., Zhang, L., & Zhao, Y. (2020). Exploring consumers' buying behavior in a large online promotion activity: The role of psychological distance and involvement. *Journal of theoretical and applied electronic commerce research*, 15(1), 66-80.
- Menzies, R. E., & Menzies, R. G. (2020). Death anxiety in the time of COVID-19: Theoretical explanations and clinical implications. *The Cognitive Behaviour Therapist*, 13, e19.
- Oermann, M. H., Barton, A., Yoder-Wise, P. S., & Morton, P. G. (2021). Research in nursing education and the institutional review board/ethics committee. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 37(2), 342-347.
- Pashchenko, Y., Rahman, M. F., Hossain, M. S., Uddin, M. K., & Islam, T. (2022). Emotional and the normative aspects of customers' reviews. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 68, 103011.
- Schwarz, N., Jalbert, M., Noah, T., & Zhang, L. (2021). Metacognitive experiences as information: Processing fluency in consumer judgment and decision making. *Consumer Psychology Review*, 4(1), 4-25.
- Sheppes, G. (2020). Transcending the "good & bad" and "here & now" in emotion regulation: Costs and benefits of strategies across regulatory stages *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 61, pp. 185-236): Elsevier.
- Snowball, J., Tarentaal, D., & Sapsed, J. (2022). Innovation and diversity in the digital cultural and creative industries *Arts, Entrepreneurship, and Innovation* (pp. 187-215): Springer.
- Toplak, M. E., West, R. F., & Stanovich, K. E. (2011). The Cognitive Reflection Test as a predictor of performance on heuristics-and-biases tasks. *Memory & cognition*, *39*(7), 1275-1289.
- Toti, J.-F., Diallo, M. F., & Huaman-Ramirez, R. (2021). Ethical sensitivity in consumers' decision-making: The mediating and moderating role of internal locus of control. *Journal of Business Research*, 131, 168-182.
- Verma, S., Garg, N., & Arumugam, T. (2023). Being ethically resilient during COVID-19: a cross-sectional study of Indian supply chain companies. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 34(4), 962-993.
- Watson, K. (2011). D. Kahneman.(2011). Thinking, Fast and Slow. New York, NY: Farrar, Straus and Giroux. 499 pages. *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 26(2), 111-113.
- Zhang, W., Leng, X., & Liu, S. (2020). Research on mobile impulse purchase intention in the perspective of system users during COVID-19. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 1-9.
- Zheng, M. (2020). When and why negative emotional appeals work in advertising: A review of research. *Open Journal of social sciences*, 8(03), 7.
- Zollo, L. (2021). The consumers' emotional dog learns to persuade its rational tail: Toward a social intuitionist framework of ethical consumption. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 168(2), 295-313.