

ROLE OF EXTERNAL FINANCE AND INNOVATION IN ACHIEVING ECO-EFFICIENCY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

MUHAMMAD NAVEED JAMIL¹, DR. ABDUL RASHEED²

ABSTRACT

Eco-efficiency is a biggest universal challenge and one-fourth CO₂ emission produce by World Transport sector. UN Sustainable development Goals Agenda-2030; Paris agreement of 2015 was most successful agreement between the advancing Global World to set a target, to meet Social-economic-environment success. The main objective of this study is to examine the effect of External finance and Power Diversity on Eco-efficiency and sustainable Development Goals; to identify the best financial and transport eco-power source. This study used two ways of data analysis; first, past and future trend analysis, and second, Regression, GMM and Robust measurement; first, External finance Trend of four countries, Japan has least dependent country, china only face dependency in FDI, while Pakistan major dependency on Remittances and ODA-Foreign-Aid. Fuel Consumption Trend; Japan Eco-efficiency policy is better than other three countries, but still away from Paris Agreement-Target-2030. Second; Regression and GMM results indicates external finance i.e. FDI, Remittances, ODA and Transport Power Source i.e. Oil and Electric high significant impact on eco-efficiency and SDGs; GDP highly significant inverse, health negative and Per-Capita GDP, Government Consumption positive relationships exist with Eco-efficiency and SDGs. The robust result indicates of validity and the sustainability. Study implication and recommendation are cleared; external finance has a modern finance source for technology enhancement and economy. Transport Cargo system need to be design according eco-friendly, UN SDGs Agenda-2030. Government need to enacting policies to encourage foreigner to invest eco-friendly project like modern technology enhancement, people to move away from gasoline and diesel transportation until modern technology eco-efficient, Electric power system is an alternative of fossil power generation toward CO₂ emission reduction.

KEYWORDS: Financing Stability; Eco-efficiency, Green Development; De-carbonization pathways; Central Asia Future Sustainability

1. INTRODUCTION

Eco-efficiency most significant for human and development embodies aspects of both approaches of environmental efficiency and economic development efficiency. Define Eco-efficiency to resource effectively used, create product and provide better services that meet the human needs (Lupan & Cozorici, 2015). Greenhouse gases is a biggest challenge and one fourth of World Transport sector produce CO₂ emission, all sectors going to reduce CO₂ emission, transportation sector need to Transport Power Generation Diversity (Kjellén & Tasala Gradin, 2020; Momber, 2015). In sharply, automotive technology must be able to achieve the highest CO₂ reduce potential and to meet the UN Agenda-2030 Sustainable development Goals Climate agreement. Paris agreement of SDGs 2015 was most successful agreement between the advancing global world to set a target to meet Social-economic-environment efficiency and reduce CO₂ emission (L. A. Greene, 2000; Harrould-Kolieb, 2019; Naylor & Ford, 2023; Obergassel et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2015). External finance inflow significantly influences environmental Quality due to the utilization of advanced technology that reduces CO₂ emission (Ayamba, Haibo, Abdul-Rahaman, Serwaa, & Osei-Agyemang, 2020). Despite the fact transport was pivotal in world economy and a global supply chain. Transport vehicle, cargo carriage, ships and ports are blood line for every economy (Notteboom, van der Lugt, van Saase, Sel, & Neyens, 2020). Transport fossil fuel generate anthropogenic emissions and environmental externalities of air pollutant, greenhouse gas emissions and public health (Höök & Tang, 2013; Lelieveld et al., 2019; Nudzor, 2023). Transport power system diversity and associated financial costs incurred for EU and Central Asia in order to meet the de-carbonization targets as road map 2030 (Burchart-Korol, Pustejovska, Blaut, Jursova, & Korol, 2018; Knopf et al., 2013; Pang, Mörtberg, & Brown, 2014; Schwanitz, 2013; Namadi, 2023).

SDGs the 80 % GHG emissions reduction target for 2030, there for energy-economy models commonly used worldwide I.e. Primes, Green-X, Gains, GEM-E3, NEMESIS, Times-Pan-EU, and World Scan for assessment of world transport energy and climate. In alternative de-carbonization pathways was technological efficiency with different strategies mode, energy system, financial cost and future sustainability of macro-economic implication (Dong & Zhang, 2023; Bilal & Tanveer, 2023; Knopf et al., 2013; Williams et al., 2012; Christopher Yang, McCollum, McCarthy, & Leighty, 2009). Shipping's mission

¹ Corresponding Author, PhD Scholar, Institute of Business Administration, Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan, <u>mnaveedknp@gmail.com</u>

² Assistant Professor, Institute of Business Administration, Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan, <u>abdul.rasheed@kfueit.edu.pk</u>

up to 17 % rose if eco-environmental measure not taken (Alamoush, Ölçer, & Ballini, 2022; Bouman, Lindstad, Rialland, & Strømman, 2017; Cames, Graichen, Siemons, & Cook, 2015; Audi et al., 2022). An important milestone achieve by adoption of eco-friendly GHG emission, SDGs policy of 50% reduction in CO₂ emission till 2030 announced at 72nd Marine environment protection committee meeting help in April 2018, which was the extension pathway of Paris agreement CO₂ emission reduction (Psaraftis & Kontovas, 2020a, 2020b). Transport De-carbonization initiate strategy (Doelle & Chircop, 2019; Earsom & Delreux, 2021). Electrical energy, hydrogen, fuels and renewable fuels was collectively use transport energy sources (X. Chen et al., 2023; Dorotić et al., 2019; Jorgensen, 2008; Kraciuk, Kacperska, Łukasiewicz, & Pietrzak, 2022). The Eco-friendly CO₂ emission challenge can be met through appropriate technology mix (Hren et al., 2023; Idris, 2023; Lewis, Kelly, & Keoleian, 2014; Orsi, Muratori, Rocco, Colombo, & Rizzoni, 2016).

The External finance, technology valued for Eco-efficiency and sustainable development, Neo classical growth-theory, and endogenous growth-theory (Ramanayake, 2019; Solow, 1956) best fit for this study model. Theories component of dynamic input of capital flow, labour and technology, the main output of consumption and population behaviour, are valuable indicators influencing results. Classical Growth Theory: Capital growth becomes Economic Development at the nature rate while equal to population growth, with inventions excluded (Little, 1957; Michl & Tavani, 2020, 2022; Salleh & Sapengin, 2023; Saeed, 2021). Endogenous Growth Theory: was an extension of neo-classical growth theory. Endogenous growth theory indicates that population growth, human capital, and investment knowledge enhance economic Development. Better work within the system and basic aim of theory difference in wealth developing and developed countries if investment in infrastructure (physical capital) will returns diminishing (Chandra, 2022a, 2022b; Mankiw, Romer, & Weil, 1992; Sajid & Ali, 2018). Therefore external finance and advance technology in transportation sector benefit for eco-efficiency. Research Gap is to describe the External finance and transport power diversity i.e. Oil, Gas and Electric, and identify best transport eco-energy generation and financial source that meets the Paris CO₂ emission reduction agreement and SDGs. Empirically technological transportation system increase eco-environment efficiency and sustainability, De-growth air transportation pathway of economic growth (Köves & Bajmócy, 2022). In Modern Technology and electric mobility used to supply electric motors to provide totally or partially produce power for transportation with CO₂ emission reduction (Delgado, Moura, & de Almeida, 2021). Transport CO₂ emission increased almost 80 % from 1990 to 2019, which is serious challenge for world eco-efficiency target. Revise growth and energy utilization source in transport sector to mitigate transport emissions (Dai, Alvarado, Ali, Ahmed, & Meo, 2023).

To meet the SDGs Agenda-2030, we needed considerable financing-effort from the government sector of Gov. Consumption and Health-Exp; study added government expenditure and predicted a positive association between Sustainable developments. The above variable Gov. Consumption and Health-Exp analyses will show the influence on Eco-efficiency and Economic Development (Aust, Morais, & Pinto, 2020; Malikane & Chitambara, 2017). This study inspires the above motivation and developed aims to cross region investigate, the main objective of this study is to examine the effect of external finance i.e. FDI, Remittances, ODA & Aid and Transport Power Diversity i.e. Oil, Gas, Electric on CO₂ emission reduction and SDGs; identify best eco-power energy Generation. Moreover, empirical literature further may elaborate; challenges, external finance, transport energy source, world SDGs, eco-efficiency target and revise growth.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The experience of countries has shown that international emission trading was a cost effective way to reduce global Transport CO₂ emission. Emissions charges were an effective way for the Government to intervene in order to environmental protection (Burchell, Ison, Enoch, & Budd, 2019; Camporeale, Caggiani, Fonzone, & Ottomanelli, 2019; Carbone, Helm, & Rutherford, 2009; Fujimori et al., 2016; Fujimori, Masui, & Matsuoka, 2015; Gomez & Vassallo, 2020; H. Wang, Shi, Xue, He, & Liu, 2022; X. Zhang, Qi, Ou, & Zhang, 2017). External finance has positive association of energy consumption. Foreign direct investment enhance economic development, Eco-efficiency Kuznets curve and external finance contribute inward SDGs (Bekun, Adedoyin, Lorente, & Driha, 2022). external finance increase the energy consumption and efficiency (Zakari, Khan, Tan, Alvarado, & Dagar, 2022). Energy consumption decline when Co₂ emission increased (Zafar, Qin, & Zaidi, 2020). Road Environment link with takes advantage of marginal cost across regions and capital allocation toward low cost efficiency for emission reduction, Government, market forces role was significant for investment and promote emissions reductions (Böhringer & Welsch, 2004; Hu, Qi, & Chen, 2023; Li, Gao, Hu, Jia, & Wang, 2023; Qi & Weng, 2016; Wu, Peng, & Lao, 2023). Most existing literatures on economic development have used the Gross Domestic products as the chief indicator of economic development (Ijirshar, 2019). Financial shocks generated economic fluctuations, external financial frictions might increase the severity of economy (Balcilar, Ozdemir, Ozdemir, Aygun, & Wohar, 2022; Zetlin-Jones & Shourideh, 2017). Government investment is general government final consumption expenditure (GOVEXP) as a share to GDP. Gov.-Consumption defines the final consumption expenditure of the general government as the percentage of the GDP. Health Exp is the domestic health expenditure of the general government as the percentage of the total expenditure of the general government (Aisen and Veiga, 2011).

The combination of Financial Resources, Transport environmental and economic policies can reduce the economic failure and disappointment. Effective uses of environmental resource through low cost economic and transportation means, road congestion pricing, parking levies and mitigate traffic congestion (Maruyama & Sumalee, 2007; Perkins, Wagner, & Leung, 2018; G. Santos, 2004). Modern transport system and Sustainable Development Goals toward achieved economic success (Harichandan, Kar, Bansal, & Mishra, 2023; Naumov, Keith, & Sterman, 2023; Rony et al., 2023; Weng, Ma, Xie, & Cheng,

2022; Xing, Udemba, Tosun, Abdallah, & Boukhris, 2023). SDGs UN Agenda-2030, Global climate success is based on China and U.S corporation annual CO₂ emission, As part of Paris agreement of SDGs both nations of China and U.S has set targets reduce CO₂ emission almost 50% by U.S 2005 to 2030 and China has on peak industrial and transportation CO₂ emission commitments to achieve CO₂ emission neutrality by 2060 that association Asian Region of Japan, India and Pakistan (Agency, 2009; Z. Deng et al., 2022; Herman, 2022; M. Khan & Northrop, 2022). Energy efficiency positive influence on environment (Jamil, 2022; Jamil & Rasheed, 2023).

The main challenge was to achieve environment, viable recovery and transportation of unconventional Oil. Oil companies have great concerned in unconventional oil as alternative resource of energy supply (R. Santos, Loh, Bannwart, & Trevisan, 2014). Crude Oil pipelining might require hybrid technology, reduce cost and transportations (Martínez-Palou et al., 2011). Different type of fuels can derive i.e. biodiesel and hydro processing technique. Biodiesel enhance emission and hydro process technique was useful renewable diesel production, performance and CO₂ emission reduction (Sonthalia & Kumar, 2019). A UK based study indicates Transport Oil demand was depend on income and where price estimate fuel efficiency was considerable factor. CO₂ emission policies base transport efficiency, future energy demand and environment implication (Broadstock & Hunt, 2010). Oil productions in order to adopt dynamic carbon tank emissions factor in companies (S. Greene, Jia, & Rubio-Domingo, 2020). De-carbonization potentials in transportation (L. Wang, Xue, Zhao, Wang, & Zeng, 2020). Oil reservoirs may adverse factor and CO₂ Gas emission with great sweep efficiency (Etemad, Kantzas, & Bryant, 2020). De-carbonization potentials in transportation on natural gas vehicles, vehicle

market, and success-failure factor indications for twelve countries deploy of natural gas vehicle is more efficient (M. I. Khan, 2017). Nineties FCA has invested in CNG power vehicles and its becoming leader one of them transportation system. Road transport vehicles still power on natural Gas of 65%, while a progressive increase of battery electric hybrids vehicles. Natural Gas modern technology is mandatory to achieve high efficiency by removing conventional fuels usage (Ferrera, 2017). Natural gas significant for transport power fuel are emission performance, safety and economic. CNG has several advantages over transport power with gasoline fuel and diesel oil, considerable cost and emission reductions (M. I. Khan, Yasmin, & Shakoor, 2015). Natural Gas was Optimization problems in transport power and transmission system problem was quite extensive (Ríos-Mercado & Borraz-Sánchez, 2015). Transportation de-carbonization was a complex problem involving environment, population, technology and economy. Operation pathway systematic methods were helping to transportation sustainability. CO₂ emission reduction, hybrid system was exploring the transportation optimizations de-carbonization ability (L. Wang, Zhao, Wang, & Xue, 2021).

Electric vehicles modernizations pose great potentials toward de-carbonization of transportation sector. Plug-in hybrid electric transport power system was promising pathway to reduce greenhouse gas emission (Blumsack, Samaras, & Hines, 2008). Plug-in hybrid and battery electric transport power can achieve 50% energy benefit compare to other of mode of transport power. While Transport CO₂ emission results can be vary based on time and location of electric power generation. Therefore, transport power through electric is benefits of promoting electric vehicles (Y. Chen et al., 2018). CO₂ emission increase due to electric transport vehicle, a study based China, U.S and EU indication plug-in electric transport power vehicle was harmful the CO₂ emission and sold electric vehicle and produce CO₂ emission 1 million tons in 2012 to 2025 and trending 671, 280, 143 million tons in 2030 respectively in those three markets (Gan, Wang, Lu, & Kelly, 2021). Expansion of conventional transportation was accelerates the pollution (CO₂ emission) and extends the demand of green transportation. Eminence of electric transport power vehicles was a future of electric Cars and other transportation system. This uses a bidirectional power- flow of EVs battery charging may it charge the car or either sustain the utility grid (Ismail et al., 2022). Large scale use of electric power transportation is reduce CO₂ emission and mortality of passenger (Pan et al., 2023). India was on tradition fossil emission way with heavy population but few years its policies change to de-carbonization in transportation sector and set electric power transport goal by 2030 (P. Pradhan, 2021; Raugei & Winfield, 2019).

The global transport sector has become aware of the environmental consequences but grappling with Development and Ecoefficient agenda. Only appeals to environmental Sustainability and carbon footprint reduction are not likely to change through user behaviour required a mechanism, Foreign financing, sustainable mobility and comprehensive champions (Uteng, 1997). In long-run, FDI has not affected the intensity of CO₂ emissions, while economic Development increases the intensity of CO₂ emissions. In the short run, no effects were indicated between FDI, Eco-efficiency and economic development (Shaari, Hussain, Abdullah, & Kamil, 2014). External finance inflow contributes to unpolluted Eco-efficiency and economic growth (Lee, 2013). Foreign finance useful in CO₂ emissions reduction in central and western regions of china; Green technology may enhance Eco-friendly efficiency (C. Zhang & Zhou, 2016). Foreign Direct Investment enhances Carbon Dioxide emissions due to more industrialization. At the same time, technological Development causes Eco-efficiency (Farooq, 2022). FDI and Debt enhance the country's foreign source and efficiency (Jamil, Rasheed, Maqbool, & Mukhtar, 2023).

According Migration and development World Bank report remittance send to low and middle income countries enhance economy. Important factor, a massive number of remittances go into investment (Sapkota, 2013). Another importance of remittances migrant worker sends money in shape of US Dollar, euro, pound, Yen and other major currencies. These remittances meet the need of countries foreign reserves, resolve the balance of payment issues, countries able to enhance modern technology (Esteves & Khoudour-Castéras, 2009; Jayasekara, 2022; A. Pradhan, Afrin, & Islam, 2012).





Net official development assistance (ODA) consists of disbursements of loans, assistance and grants by official agencies for promote economic development and welfare of countries. External finance inflows with clean technological transfer and assistance improvement in labour and environmental management practices will help developing countries to achieve the sustainable development goals. Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions depends on enhanced energy efficiency, adoption of

clean and modern energy technologies, such as renewable energy, nuclear, and the utilization of carbon capture and storage for fossil fuel and biomass energy generation processes (Sarkodie & Strezov, 2019).

Greenhouse gases is a biggest challenges of our age and one fourth of World Transport sector produce CO₂ emission, almost all sectors going to reduce fossil dependency, but transport sector still base on fossil dependency. Government need to enacting policies to encourage foreigners to finance eco-projects and people move away from gasoline and diesel transportation. Electric power may have alternative of fossil power generation toward CO₂ emission reduction (Kjellén & Tasala Gradin, 2020; Momber, 2015).

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 3.1. RESEARCH METHOD

The study to examine the effect of External Finance and Transport Power Diversity on Eco-efficiency and SDGs; Decarbonization pathways for central Asia future sustainability: Evidence from Asian Regions Countries. This study is to develop an Environment measure and examine the effect of the Asian four Countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan. Time series data is collected since 2000 to 2021 from World Bank, IMF and other transport reports.

3.2. CALCULATION OF EXTERNAL FINANCE AND ECO-EFFICIENCY

 $Eco. efficiency_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 EF_i + \beta_2 SDGs_i + \beta_3 GDP_i + \beta_4 P. GDP_i + \beta_5 GOVCONS_i + \beta_6 HEALTHEXP_i + \varepsilon \dots 1$

SDGs_i = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 EF_i + \beta_2 Eco.$ efficiency_i + $\beta_3 GDP_i + \beta_4 P.$ GDP_i + $\beta_5 GOVCONS_i + \beta_6 HEALTHEXP_i + \epsilon$ 2 The above equation i denoted to the Asian Country of Japan, China, India and Pakistan, and Eco-efficiency~ CO₂ emission per capita; Carbon dioxide emissions stem from burning fossil-fuels and manufacturing and divided by GDP per capita (Belucio & Guarini, 2023; Ginez & Tabag, 2023; Sarkodie & Strezov, 2019)., EF ~ external finance (FDI, Remittance, and ODA-Foreign Aid) is the natal logarithm of the average inflow of external finance. Although the majority of empirical literature considers external finance appropriate to Sustainable Progress and we foresee and consider as the "positivecoefficient" for the variable EF (b1>0) (Mainali, Luukkanen, Silveira, & Kaivo-oja, 2018; Sarkodie & Strezov, 2019). SDGs refer to region countries average ranking scores. GDP ~ refer for Domestic Annual Growth and P.GDP ~ for Per Capita GDP. Gov. Consumption determines a country's final consumption expenditure (GDP %), and Health-Exp is the domestic-health expenses (Total expenses %) of a country. To meet the Eco-efficiency of SDGs Agenda-2030, we needed considerable financing-effort from the government sector, and we added government expenditure and predicted a positive association between Eco-efficiency (Malikane & Chitambara, 2017).

3.3. CALCULATION OF POWER CO₂ EMISSION AND ECO-EFFICIENCY

 CO_2 emission is measure through % of total fuel combustion by transport. It covers all combustion of fuel by all means of transport; road, rail and pipeline transport except international marine bunkers and international aviation. Transport Oil Power CO_2 emission is measure through % of total Oil fuel consumption (kt) in transport sector. In simple word we can say transport power produce through crude oil and petroleum products. Transport Gas Power CO_2 emission is measure through % of total gaseous fuel consumption (kt) in transport sector. CO_2 emissions through liquid fuel consumption mean it mainly focus natural gas use by transport power as energy source. Transport Electric Power CO_2 emission is measure through % of total Electricity consumption (kWh) in transport sector. Electric Power consumption mean total utilized electricity at transport sector; plants, manufacturing, heating, coaling and charging of batteries of different transport mode. Transport Eco-efficiency is measure through Air Pollution = Environment Cost / Economic output ~ Transport Air Pollution ~ CO_2 emission from transport (% of total) / transport GDP share (% of total GDP). This study examines effect of External Finance and Transport CO_2 emission on Eco-efficiency and SDGs through Regression and GMM for panel and Robustness used for sustainability and validity the effect. Transport CO_2 emission factor equations as

Eco efficiency _t = $f(C_{it}, S_{it}, Y_{it}, P_{it}, G_{it}, H_{it}) \sim \dots$	3
$SDGs_t = f(C_{it}, E_{it}, Y_{it}, P_{it}, G_{it}, H_{it}) \sim \dots$	4
$\text{Eco efficiency}_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 C_{it} + \beta_2 S_{it} + \beta_3 Y_{it} + \beta_4 P_{it} + \beta_5 G_{it} + \beta_6 H_{it} + \epsilon \; .$	5
$SDGs_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 C_{it} + \beta_2 E_{it} + \beta_3 Y_{it} + \beta_4 P_{it} + \beta_5 G_{it} + \beta_6 H_{it} + \varepsilon$	6

The above equation i denotes to the Asian four Countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan, and t for time, Eco-efficiency~ CO_2 emission per capita, C for Transport fuel consumption, I.e. Transport Oil Power, Transport Gas Power, and Electric Power, E for Eco-efficiency, S for SDGs refers to region countries average ranking scores, Y for GDP Annual Growth and P for Per Capita GDP. G determines a country's final consumption expenditure (GDP %), and H is the domestic-health expenses (Total expenses %) of a country. Although the majority of empirical literature was considers "positive-coefficient" for the variable EE (b1>0) (Mainali et al., 2018; Sarkodie & Strezov, 2019).

$$P(\text{Trend}_{i} > j) = g(X_{i}\beta_{j}) = \frac{\exp(a_{j} + X_{i}\beta_{j})}{1 + \exp(a_{i} + X_{i}\beta_{j})} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots 7$$

In which i denotes to the Asian four Countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan and X_i using an equation, is a vector of a predictor of variables and describe as Air Pollution, Transport Fuel consumption Power, Transport Oil Power, Transport Gas Power, and Electric Power. Country performance trends measured through ordinal variables between 0 and 40 indicate place and positions toward trend of Transport CO₂ emission (Anyanwu & Yameogo, 2015).

4. RESULTS

Table 1 Descriptive statistic of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan indicating the potential of each variable able to impact on Eco-efficiency and SDGs. Model 1 of external finance variable able to potential impact on eco-efficiency; mean of remittance 2.18, FDI 1.53, ODA 0.41 and Standard deviation 2.41, 1.28,0.55 respective able to influence on eco-efficiency.

	Table 1: Descriptive Statistic												
	FDI	Remittance	ODA	ECO	SDGs	Transport	Electric	Gas	Oil	GDP	GDP.PC	GFC	Health
Mean	1.53	2.18	0.41	4.16	63.86	15.06	0.03	1.00	2.33	4.45	3.40	13.83	5.00
Median	1.10	0.83	0.19	2.15	61.91	11.85	0.01	0.75	2.33	4.78	3.08	13.29	4.02
Maximum	4.55	8.99	2.52	9.91	79.56	28.93	0.09	2.78	3.31	14.23	13.64	21.42	10.99
Minimum	-0.05	0.02	-0.01	0.62	51.60	4.10	0.00	0.28	1.49	-7.30	-8.20	7.35	2.14
Std. Dev.	1.28	2.41	0.55	3.60	9.68	7.50	0.03	0.65	0.56	4.19	4.10	3.86	2.72

Table 2: Descriptive Average Trend of Four Countries								
Country Description	External Finance Trend			Fuel Consumption Trend				
	EDI	Domittonoo	ODA &	Transport	CO2 intensity	CO2 intensity	Electricity	
	FDI Ke	Kennittance	Foreign Aid	CO2 emission	Oil Transport	GAS Transport	Transport	
China	3.047	0.193	0.031	3.550	3.090	1.020	0.020	
India	1.613	3.157	0.160	5.310	2.220	0.590	0.010	
Japan	0.320	0.049	0.220	8.170	2.410	1.750	0.080	
Pakistan	1.140	5.310	1.220	11.890	1.600	0.620	0.004	

Model 2 of transport Power diversity variable able to potential impact on eco-efficiency; the mean of Transport 15.06 and standard deviation 7.50 showing highest potential impacting factor for Eco-efficiency, The mean of Oil 2.33 and standard deviation 0.56 at 2nd and, Gas mean 1.00 and standard deviation 0.65 on 3rd major power source. Electric power source is on 4rth place with increasing share in specified regions. Eco-efficiency powers of mean of 4.16 and standard deviation 3.60 as dependent variable; meanwhile control variables means and standard deviation of SDGs 63.86, 9.68, GDP Per Capita Growth 3.40 and 4.10 and GDP 4.45 and 4.19 respectively potentially able to affect Eco-efficiency. Model 3 both model 1 and model 2 variables combined influence capacity for Sustainable Development Goals.

Table 3 External Finance Diversity impact on Eco-efficiency (Regression)

Variable		Coefficient	t-Statistic	Prob.
FDI		-0.513276***	-4.503536	0.0000
REMITTANCES		-0.319654***	-4.022611	0.0001
ODA		0.894965***	3.334003	0.0013
SDGs		0.114421***	5.015266	0.0000
GDP		-2.212982***	-7.509369	0.0000
GDP_PC		2.212158***	7.224648	0.0000
GC		0.06017300	0.532545	0.5958
HEALTH		-0.10512300	-0.940660	0.3497
R-squared	0.947352	Mean dependent var		4.159784
Adjusted R-squared	0.942745	S.D. dependent var		3.603880
S.E. of regression	0.862334	Akaike info criterion		2.628161
Sum squared resid	59.48966	Schwarz criterion		2.853373
Log likelihood	-107.6391	Hannan-Quinn criter.		2.718893
Durbin-Watson stat	0.327518			

01% *** 05% ** 10% *

Table 2 Descriptive Average Trend of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan indicating the external finance dependency of each variable and Transport energy usage CO₂ emission intensity. On average External finance Trend of 4 countries, China FDI is 50%, India 26%, Pakistan 19% and Japan 5% indication of external finance~ FDI dependency. In Remittances dependency, Pakistan on top with 61%, India on second with 36%, China share is 2% and Japan with 1%. In ODA and Foreign Aid utilization dependency, Pakistan on top with 75%, Japan with 13%, India with 10% and China with 2%. Trend of external finance of 4 countries, china only face dependence in FDI, while Pakistan major dependency on

Remittances and ODA-Foreign Aid indication for policy maker. On average Fuel Consumption Trend of 4 countries, Pakistan fuel consumption is 41%, Japan 28%, India 19% and China 12% indication of Transport CO_2 emission ~ transportation fuel consumption. In Oil transport consumption China on top with 33%, Japan on second with 26%, Indian oil share is 24% and Pakistan with 17%. While Gas utilization japan on top with 44%, china at second with 26%, India and Pakistan equally with 15%. In electric transportation Japan on top with 70%, China with 18%, India with 9% and Pakistan with 3% electric power using nations. Japan Air Pollution policy is better than other three countries with sustain Air Pollution.

Table 3 is showing the Regression least square method measurement results that indicate the external finance (FDI, Remittances, ODA) of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on eco-efficiency. FDI -0.513276***, Remittances -0.319654*** and ODA 0.894965*** indicates 1% high significant impact on eco-efficiency. Control variables Sustainable development Goal of UN-Target 2030 is 0.114421***, GDP -2.212982*** and GDP per Capita 2.212158*** highly 1% significant, while Government Consumption 0.060173 and Health -0.105123 less impact on eco-efficiency. Conclude results as External finance is an important factor for Eco-efficiency that enhances the efficiency and performance.

Variable Coefficient t-Statistic Prob. TRANSPORT 0.5040 0.01681600 0.671214 OIL 2.291111*** 4.841467 0.0000 0.999972 GAS 0.18699500 0.3204 ELECTRIC 135.6866*** 9.806322 0.0000 **SDGs** -0.082610*** -2.639491 0.0100 GDP 0.04454900 0.129720 0.8971 GDP PC 0.9962 0.00162300 0.004732 GC 0.1387070* 1.792439 0.0769 -0.439809*** HEALTH -4.169503 0.0001 R-squared 0.974263 Mean dependent var 4.159784 0.606733 Akaike info criterion 1.935201 S.E. of regression Sum squared resid 29.08187 Schwarz criterion 2.188565 Durbin-Watson stat 0.342707

Table 4 Environment Development impact on Eco-efficiency (Regression)

Table 5 External Finance Diversity and Environment Development on Sustainable Development Goals (Regression)

Variable		Coefficient	t-Statistic	Prob.
FDI		0.7937850**	2.626485	0.0104
REMITTANCES		1.025282***	5.659323	0.0000
ODA		-0.12543000	-0.183205	0.8551
TRANSPORT		0.318693***	4.256388	0.0001
OIL		14.21360***	15.65603	0.0000
GAS		0.72675800	1.266012	0.2094
ELECTRIC		342.2002***	5.749585	0.0000
ECO		-0.6608860*	-1.957041	0.0540
GDP		4.508606***	4.808409	0.0000
GDP_PC		-4.499790***	-4.782497	0.0000
GC		0.658040***	2.879933	0.0052
HEALTH		0.24655800	0.694508	0.4895
R-squared	0.970495	Mean dependent var		63.85795
Adjusted R-squared	0.966224	S.D. dependent var		9.681756
S.E. of regression	1.779328	Akaike info criterion		4.116472
Sum squared resid	240.6166	Schwarz criterion		4.454291
Log likelihood	-169.1248	Hannan-Quinn criter.		4.252571
Durbin-Watson stat	0.548635			

01% *** 05% ** 10% *

Table 4 is showing the Regression least square method measurement results that indicate the Transport Power Diversity (Oil, Gas, Electric) of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on Eco-efficiency. Overall transport power 0.016816, Oil transport power 2.291111***, Gas 0.186995 and Electric power 135.6866*** indicating significance and

important for Eco-efficiency, meanwhile Oil and Electric power diversity results showing 1% highly significant impact on eco-efficiency. Control variable of Sustainable development Goal of UN-Target 2030 is -0.082610*** and Health - 0.439809*** highly significant impact on Eco-efficiency. Meanwhile GDP, GDP Per Capita and Government Consumption less impact factor for eco-efficiency. Conclude results as Transport energy diversity is an important for Eco-efficiency, meanwhile Oil and Electric power more infuencing factor that enhances the efficiency and performance. Oil is major energy source and 1% Oil eco-efficiency showing big impact four region countries, if 1% Oil reduce Quality and efficiency highly demage air pollution, Electric is less Co₂ emission energy source that enhance eco-efficiency.

Table 5 is showing the Regression least square method measurement results that indicate the external finance (FDI, Remittances, ODA) and Transport Power Diversity (Oil, Gas, Electric) of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on Sustainable Development Goals. FDI 0.7937850**, Remittances 1.025282***, and Overall transport power 135.6866***, Oil transport power 14.21360***, and Electric power 342.2002*** indicates highly significant impact on SDGs. ODA -0.1254300 and Gas 0.72675800 less impact on SDGs, Control variables Eo-efficiency is -0.6608860*, GDP -4.508606***, GDP per Capita -4.499790*** and Government Consumption 0.658040*** highly significant, while Health 0.24655800 less impact on SDGs in four Asian Countries. Conclude results as External finance and Energy are an important factors for SDGs that enhances the efficiency and performance in four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan.

Variable		Coefficient	t-Statistic	Prob.
FDI		0.793785***	-4.503536	0.0000
REMITTANCES		1.025282***	-4.022611	0.0001
ODA		-0.125430***	3.334003	0.0013
SDGs		0.318693***	5.015266	0.0000
GDP		14.21360***	-7.509369	0.0000
GDP_PC		0.726758***	7.224648	0.0000
GC		342.200200	0.532545	0.5958
HEALTH		-0.66088600	-0.940660	0.3497
R-squared	0.947352	Mean dependent var		4.159784
Adjusted R-squared	0.942745	S.D. dependent var		3.603880
S.E. of regression	0.862334	Sum squared resid		59.48966
Durbin-Watson stat	0.327518	J-statistic		27.888***
Instrument rank	9	Prob(J-statistic)		0.000000

Table 6 External Finance Diversity impact on Eco-efficiency (GMM)

01% *** 05% ** 10% *

Table 6 is showing External Finance Diversity of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on Ecoefficiency. The generalized method of movements used for penal data for correct assessment. Its use for dynamic penal data combines moment conditions. When the coefficient of the legged dependent variable is near 0.87, GMM estimation is suggested for measuring penal data. The generalized method of movements (GMM) measurement results that indicate the external finance of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on eco-efficiency. FDI -0.513276***, Remittances -0.319654*** and ODA 0.894965*** indicates 1% high significant impact on eco-efficiency. Control variables Sustainable development Goal of UN-Target 2030 is 0.114421***, GDP -2.212982*** and GDP per Capita 2.212158*** highly 1% significant, while Government Consumption 0.060173 and Health -0.105123 less impact on eco-efficiency. Concluding results as External finance (FDI, Remittances and ODA) are an important factor for Eco-efficiency that enhances the efficiency and performance.

Table 7 is showing Transport Power Diversity of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on Ecoefficiency. The generalized method of movements used for penal data for correct assessment. Its use for dynamic penal data combines moment conditions. When the coefficient of the legged dependent variable is near 0.87, GMM estimation is suggested for measuring penal data. The generalized method of movements measurement results that indicate the Transport Power Diversity (Oil, Gas, Electric) of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on Eco-efficiency. Overall transport power 0.016816, Oil transport power 2.291111***, Gas 0.186995 and Electric power 135.6866*** indicating significance and important for Eco-efficiency, meanwhile Oil and Electric power diversity results showing 1% highly significant impact on eco-efficiency. Control variable of Sustainable development Goal of UN-Target 2030 is -0.082610*** and Health -0.439809*** highly significant impact on Eco-efficiency. Meanwhile GDP, GDP Per Capita and Government Consumption less impact factor for eco-efficiency. The generalized method of movements' assessment Concluding results as Transport energy diversity is an important for Eco-efficiency, meanwhile Oil and Electric power and 1% Oil eco-efficiency

showing big impact four region countries, if 1% Oil reduce Quality and efficiency highly demage air pollution, Electric is less Co₂ emission energy source that enhance eco-efficiency.

Variable		Coefficient	t-Statistic	Prob.
TRANSPORT		0.01681600	0.671214	0.5040
OIL		2.291111***	4.841467	0.0000
GAS		0.18699500	0.999972	0.3204
ELECTRIC		135.6866***	9.806322	0.0000
SDGs		-0.082610***	-2.639491	0.0100
GDP		0.04454900	0.129720	0.8971
GDP_PC		0.00162300	0.004732	0.9962
GC		0.1387070*	1.792439	0.0769
HEALTH		-0.439809***	-4.169503	0.0001
R-squared	0.974263	Mean dependent var		4.159784
Adjusted R-squared	0.971656	S.D. dependent var		3.603880
S.E. of regression	0.606733	Sum squared resid		29.08187
Durbin-Watson stat	0.342707	J-statistic		33.555***
Instrument rank	10	Prob(J-statistic)		0.000000

Table 7 Environment Development impact on Eco-efficiency (GMM)

01% *** 05% ** 10% *

Table 8 External Finance Diversity and Environment Development impact on Sustainable Development Goals (GMM)

Variable		Coefficient	t-Statistic	Prob.
FDI		0.7937850*	2.626485	0.0104
REMITTANCES		1.025282***	5.659323	0.0000
ODA		-0.12543000	-0.183204	0.8551
TRANSPORT		0.318693***	4.256388	0.0001
OIL		14.21360***	15.65603	0.0000
GAS		0.72675800	1.266012	0.2094
ELECTRIC		342.2002***	5.749585	0.0000
ECO		-0.6608860*	-1.957042	0.0540
GDP		4.508606***	4.808409	0.0000
GDP PC		-4.499790***	-4.782498	0.0000
GC		0.658040***	2.879933	0.0052
HEALTH		0.24655800	0.694508	0.4895
R-squared	0.970495	Mean dependent var		63.85795
Adjusted R-squared	0.966224	S.D. dependent var		9.681756
S.E. of regression	1.779328	Sum squared resid		240.6166
Durbin-Watson stat	0.548635	J-statistic		32.409***
Instrument rank	13	Prob(J-statistic)		0.000000

01% *** 05% ** 10% *

Table 8 is showing The generalized method of movements results that indicate the external finance (FDI, Remittances, ODA) and Transport Power Diversity (Oil, Gas, Electric) of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on Sustainable Development Goals. FDI 0.7937850*, Remittances 1.025282***, and transport power 0.318693***, Oil transport power 14.21360***, and Electric power 342.2002*** indicates highly significant impact on SDGs. ODA & Aid -0.12543000 and Gas 0.72675800 less impact on SDGs, Control variables Eo-eficiency is -0.6608860*, GDP -4.508606***, GDP per Capita -4.499790*** and Government Consumption 0.658040*** highly significant, while Health 0.24655800 less impact on SDGs in four Asian Countries. Conclude results as External finance and Energy diversity are an important factors for SDGs that enhances the financial sustainability and environment efficiency in four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan.

Variable		Coefficient	z-Statistic	Prob.
FDI		-0.322445***	-3.398454	0.0007
REMITTANCES		-0.418789***	-6.330624	0.0000
ODA		0.612120***	2.739172	0.0062
SDGS		0.107614***	5.666046	0.0000
GDP		-1.992984***	-8.123675	0.0000
GDP_PC		1.877515***	7.365584	0.0000
GC		0.1969340**	2.093612	0.0363
HEALTH		-0.310211***	-3.334396	0.0009
	Robust S	Statistics		
R-squared	0.635193	Adjusted R-squared		0.603273
Rw-squared	0.976513	Adjust Rw-squared		0.976513
Akaike info criterion	131.3701	Schwarz criterion		154.8694
Deviance	43.61048	Scale		0.605243
Rn-squared statistic	5419.259	Prob (Rn-squared stat.)		0.000000
	Non-robus	t Statistics		
Mean dependent var	4.159784	S.D. dependent var		3.603880
S.E. of regression	0.999761	Sum squared resid		79.96181

Table 9 External Finance Diversity impact on Eco-efficiency (Robustness sustainability)

01% *** 05% ** 10% *

The above table 9 shows the robust least square results of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan External finance diversity effect on Eco-efficiency. The robust least square test used for indication results validity and sustainability for a long time. FDI -0.322445***, Remittances -0.418789*** and ODA 0.612120*** indicates 1% high significant for eco-efficiency. Control variables Sustainable development Goal of UN-Target 2030 is 0.107614***, GDP -1.992984***, GDP per Capita 1.877515*** and Health -0.310211*** highly 1% significant and Government Consumption 0.196934** high 5% significant for eco-efficiency. The Robustness assessment test result concluding; External finance is an important factor for Eco-efficiency, External finance Diversity enhances the efficiency and performance of Eco-efficiency. Results also indicate the above indicator data and results are valid and sustainable for long time.

The table 10 shows the robust least square results of Transport Power Diversity of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on Eco-efficiency. The robust least square test indicate results are valid and sustainability for a long time. Transport -0.009203, Oil 1.475101***, Gas 0.374386** and Electric 131.9918*** are significant for CO₂ emission reduction. Control varialbes of Sustainable Development Goal UN-Target-2030 is -0.042599, GDP 0.041971, GDP Per Capita 0.016005, Government Consumption 0.120649 and Health -0.491456*** significant for eco-efficiency. The result clearly indicate external finance signifacant for eco-efficiency and external finance (Oil, Gas, and Electric) indicator results more valid and sustainable for long time as compare to others. The Robustness assessment test result concluding; Transport power diversity is an important factor for Eco-efficiency that enhances the efficiency and performance. Results also indicate the above indicator data and results are valid and sustainable for long time.

The above table 11 shows the robust least square results of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan External finance diversity and Transport Power Diversity effect on SDGs. The robust least square test used for indication results validity and sustainability for a long time. FDI 0.856638***, Remittances 0.2820940*** and Transport 0.516639***, Oil 16.94514***, and Electric 357.2991*** indicates high significant for SDGs. While ODA 0.30240100 and Gas 0.54135800 less significant impact on SDGs. Control variables Eco-efficiency is -1.354899***, GDP 3.047947***, GDP per Capita - 3.057013*** and Health -0.721236*** highly significant and Government Consumption 0.18185900 less significant for SDGs. The Robustness assessment test result concluding; External finance and energy diversity are an important factor for SDGs, External finance Diversity and energy diversity enhances the efficiency and performance of SDGs in four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan. Results also indicate the above indicator data and results are valid and sustainable for long time.

Variable		Coefficient	z-Statistic	Prob.
TRANSPORT		-0.00920300	-0.380479	0.7036
OIL		1.475101***	3.228488	0.0012
GAS		0.3743860*	2.073596	0.0381
ELECTRIC		131.9918***	9.880136	0.0000
SDGs		-0.04259900	-1.409706	0.1586
GDP		0.04197100	0.126579	0.8993
GDP PC		0.01600500	0.048322	0.9615
GC		0.12064900	1.614794	0.1064
HEALTH		-0.491456***	-4.825597	0.0000
	Robust S	Statistics		
R-squared	0.662464	Adjusted R-squared		0.628283
Rw-squared	0.985261	Adjust Rw-squared		0.985261
Akaike info criterion	133.2523	Schwarz criterion		157.5190
Deviance	22.88523	Scale		0.441846
Rn-squared statistic	7295.872	Prob (Rn-squared stat.)		0.000000
	Non-robus	t Statistics		
Mean dependent var	4.159784	S.D. dependent var		3.603880
S.E. of regression	0.652473	Sum squared resid		33.63194

Table 10 Environment Development impact on Eco-efficiency (Robustness sustainability)

01% *** 05% ** 10% *

Table 11 External Finance Diversity and Environment Development impact on Sustainable Development Goals (Robustness)

Variable		Coefficient	z-Statistic	Prob.
FDI		0.856638***	3.744955	0.0002
REMITTANCES		0.2820940*	2.057275	0.0397
ODA		0.30240100	0.583573	0.5595
TRANSPORT		0.516639***	9.116610	0.0000
OIL		16.94514***	24.66040	0.0000
GAS		0.54135800	1.245977	0.2128
ELECTRIC		357.2991***	7.931688	0.0000
ECO		-1.354899***	-5.301003	0.0000
GDP		3.047947***	4.294810	0.0000
GDP_PC		-3.057013***	-4.292766	0.0000
GC		0.18185900	1.051578	0.2930
HEALTH		0.721236***	2.684184	0.0073
	Robust S	Statistics		
R-squared	0.801499	Adjusted R-squared		0.772768
Rw-squared	0.988652	Adjust Rw-squared		0.988652
Akaike info criterion	129.3707	Schwarz criterion		164.1992
Deviance	140.9814	Scale		1.129682
Rn-squared statistic	199720.6	Prob (Rn-squared stat.)		0.000000
	Non-robus	t Statistics		
Mean dependent var	63.85795	S.D. dependent var		9.681756
S.E. of regression	2.397909	Sum squared resid		436.9976

01% *** 05% ** 10% *

5. DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The main three objective of this study; First, to examine the effect of External Finance i.e. FDI, Remittances and ODA-Foreign Aid on Eco-efficiency $\sim CO_2$ emission reduction and identify the best external finance source that benefit for ecoefficiency and economic development. Second, to examine the effect of Transport Power Diversity i.e. Oil, Gas and Electric on Eco-efficiency $\sim CO_2$ emission reduction and identify best transportation eco-power energy system. Third, to examine External Finance i.e. FDI, Remittances, ODA-Foreign Aid and Transport Power Diversity i.e. Oil, Gas impacts on Sustainable Development Goals UN Agenda-2030. Greenhouse gases is a biggest challenges for worldwide and one fourth of World Transport sector produce CO_2 emission, world is concern to reduce fossil dependency with technology, while transport sector still base on fossil dependency. External finance is a modern tool for technology enhancement, and economic development. This study results also indication for world high finance and fuel dependency.

On average External finance Trend of 4 countries, China FDI, Remittances, and ODA-Foreign Aid have 50%, 2%, 2%; India 26%, 36%, 10%; Pakistan 19%, 61%,75% and Japan 5%, 1%, 13% indication of external finance~ FDI, Remittances, and ODA-Foreign Aid respectively dependency. Trend of external finance of 4 countries, Japan least dependent country, china only face dependency in FDI, while Pakistan major dependency on Remittances and ODA-Foreign Aid, indication for policy maker.

On average Fuel Consumption Trend of 4 countries, Japan fuel, Oil, Gas, and electric energy transport consumption are 28%, 26%, 44%, 70%; Pakistan 41%, 17%, 15%, 3%; India 19%, 24%, 15%, 9% and China 12%, 33%, 26%, 18%, indication of CO₂ emission intensity of fuel, Oil, Gas, and electric energy transport consumption respectively. China and Japan major producer in global and trade is important for world, but never on cost of Air Pollution. Japan Air Pollution policy is better than other three countries with sustain Air Pollution and transport energy power still away from target requirements. While China, India and Pakistan set transport sustainable Air Pollution goals to CO₂ emission reduction and in progress.

Regression least square method measurement results that indicate the external finance (FDI, Remittances, ODA) of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on eco-efficiency. FDI, Remittances and ODA indicate high significant impact on eco-efficiency. The generalized method of movements used for penal data for correct assessment. The GMM results also indicate the external finance of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on eco-efficiency. FDI, Remittances, and ODA indicate high significant impact on eco-efficiency. FDI, Remittances, and ODA indicate high significant impact on eco-efficiency.

Moreover, Regression least square method and GMM measurement of External finance and energy diversity effect on SDGs indicate FDI, Remittances, and transport power, Oil transport power, and Electric power indicates highly significant impact on SDGs. ODA & Aid and Gas less impact factor, Control variables Eco-efficiency, GDP, GDP per Capita and Government Consumption highly significant, while Health less impact on SDGS in four Asian Countries.

Concluding Regression and GMM results as External finance (FDI, Remittances and ODA) are an important factor for Ecoefficiency that enhances the efficiency and performance. The robust least square test result indicates results are validity and sustainability for a long time.

Moreover, Regression Transport Power of four Asian countries of Japan, China, India and Pakistan effect on Eco-efficiency. Result point out the 1% significance of Oil and Electric energy for Air Pollution because Oil biggest utilization energy source in transportation sector and one point Oil Air Pollution big impact on world, as electric less CO₂ emission source, while Gas is low impact on Air Pollution. The GMM also similar result produced Oil, Electric energy efficiency highly 1% significant for Air Pollution. The robust least square is validity the regression and GMM results of fuel consumption and indication the sustainability for a long period.

Similar studies; As Similar study indicates financial and technology enhancement leads to eco-efficiency, 1% growth in resource injection produce eco-sustainability. Therefore, energy industry has to allow for more private and external participation (L. Zhang, Saydaliev, & Ma, 2022). While digitalized external finance were a positive impact on energy and eco-efficiency (Fu, Zhou, Li, & Zhong, 2023). As similar results, mobilizing external finance and Energy efficiency are critical in south-east Asian nations; it's reducing the temperature produce eco-efficiency and also fast growing energy demand (Azhgaliyeva, Kapoor, & Liu, 2020). Financing structure increased the energy utilization efficiency and reduces the pollution of the ecological environment (Chengying Yang & Masron, 2022).: Similar results finance enhancement inproving the industrial eco-efficiency and performance (Q. Wang, Zhang, & Wang, 2021; W. Zhang, He, & Liu, 2023). External finance~FDI were a negative significant impact on eco-efficiency. While FDI exhibits a eco-efficiency halo effect on economic development and sustainability (H. Deng et al., 2023; Tong, Zhou, & Jiang, 2021). Heaven effect between FDI to eco-efficiency (Zheng, Zhang, Song, & Mu, 2023). Human capital, Remittances, FDI and Technology innovations promotes environmental efficiency in south east and south Asia regions (Twum et al., 2021). Financial development enhance technology, therefore positive significant impact on eco-efficiency (Cui, Wang, Chen, Ren, & Gao, 2023). Financial Resouce efficiency were benefit for motivate foreging investor, saving energy, renewable energy, minimize waste, recycling, and promoting environment eco-efficiency. More specifically, external fiannce and energy resource efficiency action impact on production costs, investment, sustainability and performance (Majid et al., 2023). Similar studies also validate our result that indication study has valueable.

External finance and Transportation energy power implication and recommendation is much cleared, external finance has a modern finance source for technology enhancement and economy. Transport Cargo system need to be design according eco-

friendly system. Government need to enacting policies to encourage foreigner to invest eco-friendly project like modern technology enhancement, people to move away from gasoline and diesel transportation until modern technology eco-efficient, Electric power system is an alternative of fossil power generation toward CO₂ emission reduction.

In sharply, technology must be able to achieve the highest CO_2 reduce potential and external financial resource helpful for technology enhancement. Paris agreement on climate and SDGs in 2015 was most successful agreement between the advancing global world to set a target to meet eco-environment efficiency and reduce CO_2 emission.

REFERENCES

Agency, I. E. (2009). World energy outlook: OECD/IEA Paris.

- Alamoush, A. S., Ölçer, A. I., & Ballini, F. (2022). Ports' role in shipping decarbonisation: A common port incentive scheme for shipping greenhouse gas emissions reduction. *Cleaner Logistics and Supply Chain*, 3, 100021.
- Anyanwu, J. C., & Yameogo, N. D. (2015). Regional comparison of foreign direct investment to Africa: Empirical analysis. *African Development Review*, 27(4), 345-363.
- Audi, M., Ali, A., & Hamadeh, H. F. (2022). Nexus Among Innovations, Financial Development and Economic Growth in Developing Countries. *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences*, 17(4).
- Aust, V., Morais, A. I., & Pinto, I. (2020). How does foreign direct investment contribute to Sustainable Development Goals? Evidence from African countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 245, 118823.
- Ayamba, E. C., Haibo, C., Abdul-Rahaman, A.-R., Serwaa, O. E., & Osei-Agyemang, A. (2020). The impact of foreign direct investment on sustainable development in China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(20), 25625-25637.
- Azhgaliyeva, D., Kapoor, A., & Liu, Y. (2020). Green bonds for financing renewable energy and energy efficiency in South-East Asia: a review of policies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 10(2), 113-140.
- Balcilar, M., Ozdemir, Z. A., Ozdemir, H., Aygun, G., & Wohar, M. E. (2022). The macroeconomic impact of economic uncertainty and financial shocks under low and high financial stress. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 63, 101801.
- Bekun, F. V., Adedoyin, F. F., Lorente, D. B., & Driha, O. M. (2022). Designing policy framework for sustainable development in Next-5 largest economies amidst energy consumption and key macroeconomic indicators. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(11), 16653-16666.
- Belucio, M., & Guarini, G. (2023). Analyzing the Influence of Philanthropy on Eco-Efficiency in 108 Countries. Sustainability 2023, 15, 1085. *Renewable Energy Consumption and Economic Growth*, 67.
- Bilal, K. ., & Tanveer, R. . (2023). Optimal Capital Structure and Firm Performance in the Textile Sector of Pakistan. Journal of Policy Options, 6(4), 1-11.
- Blumsack, S., Samaras, C., & Hines, P. (2008). Long-term electric system investments to support plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. Paper presented at the 2008 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting-Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century.
- Böhringer, C., & Welsch, H. (2004). Contraction and convergence of carbon emissions: an intertemporal multi-region CGE analysis. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 26(1), 21-39.
- Bouman, E. A., Lindstad, E., Rialland, A. I., & Strømman, A. H. (2017). State-of-the-art technologies, measures, and potential for reducing GHG emissions from shipping–A review. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 52, 408-421.
- Broadstock, D. C., & Hunt, L. C. (2010). Quantifying the impact of exogenous non-economic factors on UK transport oil demand. *Energy policy*, 38(3), 1559-1565.
- Burchart-Korol, D., Pustejovska, P., Blaut, A., Jursova, S., & Korol, J. (2018). Comparative life cycle assessment of current and future electricity generation systems in the Czech Republic and Poland. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 23, 2165-2177.
- Burchell, J., Ison, S., Enoch, M., & Budd, L. (2019). Implementation of the workplace parking levy as a transport policy instrument. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 80, 102543.
- Cames, M., Graichen, J., Siemons, A., & Cook, V. (2015). Emission reduction targets for international aviation and shipping.
- Camporeale, R., Caggiani, L., Fonzone, A., & Ottomanelli, M. (2019). Study of the accessibility inequalities of cordon-based pricing strategies using a multimodal Theil index. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 42(5), 498-514.
- Carbone, J. C., Helm, C., & Rutherford, T. F. (2009). The case for international emission trade in the absence of cooperative climate policy. *Journal of environmental economics and management*, 58(3), 266-280.
- Chandra, R. (2022a). Endogenous Growth: Introduction. In *Endogenous Growth in Historical Perspective* (pp. 1-23): Springer.
- Chandra, R. (2022b). Paul Romer and Modern Endogenous Growth Theory. In *Endogenous Growth in Historical Perspective* (pp. 251-281): Springer.
- Chen, X., Wang, M., Wang, B., Hao, H., Shi, H., Wu, Z., ... Zhu, B. (2023). Energy Consumption Reduction and Sustainable Development for Oil & Gas Transport and Storage Engineering. *Energies*, 16(4), 1775.

- Chen, Y., Hu, K., Zhao, J., Li, G., Johnson, J., & Zietsman, J. (2018). In-use energy and CO 2 emissions impact of a plug-in hybrid and battery electric vehicle based on real-world driving. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, *15*, 1001-1008.
- Chineze, A. E., (2023). Unlocking Economic Growth Through Taxation in the case Nigeria. Journal of Business and Economic Options, 6(4), 21-27.
- Cui, J., Wang, W., Chen, Z., Ren, G., & Gao, X. (2023). How digitalization and financial development impact eco-efficiency? Evidence from China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *30*(2), 3847-3861.
- Dai, J., Alvarado, R., Ali, S., Ahmed, Z., & Meo, M. S. (2023). Transport infrastructure, economic growth, and transport CO2 emissions nexus: Does green energy consumption in the transport sector matter? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 1-13.
- Delgado, J., Moura, P., & de Almeida, A. T. (2021). Electric mobility: A key technology to decarbonize the economy and improve air quality. *Affordable and Clean Energy*, 321-337.
- Deng, H., Zhang, Y., Kaczan, D., Qiao, Y., Wang, X., Chen, B., & Wang, Y. (2023). How has China's industrial ecoefficiency been improved? Evidence from multi-scale countrywide study. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(26), 69379-69392.
- Deng, Z., Ciais, P., Tzompa-Sosa, Z. A., Saunois, M., Qiu, C., Tan, C., . . . Tanaka, K. (2022). Comparing national greenhouse gas budgets reported in UNFCCC inventories against atmospheric inversions. *Earth System Science Data*, 14(4), 1639-1675.
- Doelle, M., & Chircop, A. (2019). Decarbonizing international shipping: An appraisal of the IMO's Initial Strategy. *Review* of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law, 28(3), 268-277.
- Dong, H., & Zhang, L. (2023). Transition towards carbon neutrality: Forecasting Hong Kong's buildings carbon footprint by 2050 using a machine learning approach. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, *35*, 633-642.
- Dorotić, H., Doračić, B., Dobravec, V., Pukšec, T., Krajačić, G., & Duić, N. (2019). Integration of transport and energy sectors in island communities with 100% intermittent renewable energy sources. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 99, 109-124.
- Earsom, J., & Delreux, T. (2021). A nice tailwind: The EU's goal achievement at the IMO initial strategy. *Politics and Governance*, 9(3), 401-411.
- Esteves, R., & Khoudour-Castéras, D. (2009). A fantastic rain of gold: European migrants' remittances and balance of payments adjustment during the gold standard period. *The Journal of Economic History*, 69(4), 951-985.
- Etemad, S., Kantzas, A., & Bryant, S. (2020). Efficient nanoparticle transport via CO2 foam to stabilize oil in water emulsions. *Fuel*, 276, 118063.
- Farooq, U. (2022). Foreign direct investment, foreign aid, and CO2 emissions in Asian economies: does governance matter? Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(5), 7532-7547.
- Ferrera, M. (2017). Highly efficient natural gas engines (0148-7191). Retrieved from
- Fu, Z., Zhou, Y., Li, W., & Zhong, K. (2023). Impact of digital finance on energy efficiency: Empirical findings from China. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(2), 2813-2835.
- Fujimori, S., Kubota, I., Dai, H., Takahashi, K., Hasegawa, T., Liu, J.-Y., ... Takimi, M. (2016). Will international emissions trading help achieve the objectives of the Paris Agreement? *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(10), 104001.
- Fujimori, S., Masui, T., & Matsuoka, Y. (2015). Gains from emission trading under multiple stabilization targets and technological constraints. *Energy Economics*, 48, 306-315.
- Gan, Y., Wang, M., Lu, Z., & Kelly, J. (2021). Taking into account greenhouse gas emissions of electric vehicles for transportation de-carbonization. *Energy policy*, 155, 112353.
- Ginez, E. K., & Tabag, E. J. (2023). Eco-efficiency and Sustainability: An Analysis for the Philippines. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Accounting Studies*, 5(1), 42-62.
- Gomez, J., & Vassallo, J. M. (2020). Has heavy vehicle tolling in Europe been effective in reducing road freight transport and promoting modal shift? *Transportation*, 47(2), 865-892.
- Greene, L. A. (2000). EHPnet: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. In.
- Greene, S., Jia, H., & Rubio-Domingo, G. (2020). Well-to-tank carbon emissions from crude oil maritime transportation. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 88, 102587.
- Harichandan, S., Kar, S. K., Bansal, R., & Mishra, S. K. (2023). Achieving sustainable development goals through adoption of hydrogen fuel cell vehicles in India: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 48(12), 4845-4859.
- Harrould-Kolieb, E. R. (2019). (Re) Framing ocean acidification in the context of the United Nations Framework Convention on climate change (UNFCCC) and Paris Agreement. *Climate Policy*, *19*(10), 1225-1238.
- Herman, K. S. (2022). Beyond the UNFCCC North-South divide: How newly industrializing countries collaborate to innovate in climate technologies. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 309, 114425.
- Höök, M., & Tang, X. (2013). Depletion of fossil fuels and anthropogenic climate change—A review. *Energy policy*, 52, 797-809.

- Hren, R., Vujanović, A., Van Fan, Y., Klemeš, J. J., Krajnc, D., & Čuček, L. (2023). Hydrogen production, storage and transport for renewable energy and chemicals: An environmental footprint assessment. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 173, 113113.
- Hu, H., Qi, S., & Chen, Y. (2023). Using green technology for a better tomorrow: How enterprises and government utilize the carbon trading system and incentive policies. *China Economic Review*, 78, 101933.
- Idris, O. (2023). Discussion on the Role of Emotional Intelligence in Financial Decision-Making. *Journal of Policy Options*, 6(4), 20-29.
- Ijirshar, V. U. (2019). Impact of trade openness on economic growth among ECOWAS Countries: 1975-2017. CBN Journal of Applied Statistics (JAS), 10(1), 4.
- Ismail, A. A., Mbungu, N. T., Elnady, A., Bansal, R. C., Hamid, A.-K., & AlShabi, M. (2022). Impact of electric vehicles on smart grid and future predictions: a survey. *International Journal of Modelling and Simulation*, 1-17.
- Jamil, M. N. (2022). Critical analysis of energy consumption and its impact on countries economic growth: an empirical analysis base on countries income level. *Journal of Environmental Science and Economics*, 1(2), 1-12.
- Jamil, M. N., & Rasheed, A. (2023). Corporate social environment and carbon dioxide emissions reduction impact on organizational performance; mediator role of social capital. *Journal of Environmental Science and Economics*, 2(1), 17-24.
- Jamil, M. N., Rasheed, A., Maqbool, A., & Mukhtar, Z. (2023). Cross-cultural study the macro variables and its impact on exchange rate regimes. *Future Business Journal*, 9(1), 9.
- Jayasekara, S. D. (2022). Trade-based money laundering and informal remittance services: implications on the sustainability of the balance of payments of a small open economy. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*(ahead-of-print).
- Jorgensen, K. (2008). Technologies for electric, hybrid and hydrogen vehicles: Electricity from renewable energy sources in transport. *Utilities Policy*, *16*(2), 72-79.
- Khan, M. I. (2017). Policy options for the sustainable development of natural gas as transportation fuel. *Energy policy*, *110*, 126-136.
- Khan, M. I., Yasmin, T., & Shakoor, A. (2015). Technical overview of compressed natural gas (CNG) as a transportation fuel. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 51, 785-797.
- Khan, M., & Northrop, E. (2022). Analysis of Ocean-Based Climate Action in Nationally Determined Contributions.
- Kjellén, P., & Tasala Gradin, K. (2020). *Exploring the Viability of Electric Vehicles: Sustainable Transportation in Gävleborg*: Gävle University Press.
- Knopf, B., Chen, Y.-H. H., De Cian, E., Förster, H., Kanudia, A., Karkatsouli, I., . . . Van Vuuren, D. P. (2013). Beyond 2020—Strategies and costs for transforming the European energy system. *Climate Change Economics*, 4(supp01), 1340001.
- Köves, A., & Bajmócy, Z. (2022). The end of business-as-usual?-A critical review of the air transport industry's climate strategy for 2050 from the perspectives of Degrowth. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 29, 228-238.
- Kraciuk, J., Kacperska, E., Łukasiewicz, K., & Pietrzak, P. (2022). Innovative Energy Technologies in Road Transport in Selected EU Countries. *Energies*, 15(16), 6030.
- Lee, J. W. (2013). The contribution of foreign direct investment to clean energy use, carbon emissions and economic growth. *Energy policy*, 55, 483-489.
- Lelieveld, J., Klingmüller, K., Pozzer, A., Burnett, R., Haines, A., & Ramanathan, V. (2019). Effects of fossil fuel and total anthropogenic emission removal on public health and climate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(15), 7192-7197.
- Lewis, A. M., Kelly, J. C., & Keoleian, G. A. (2014). Vehicle lightweighting vs. electrification: life cycle energy and GHG emissions results for diverse powertrain vehicles. *Applied Energy*, 126, 13-20.
- Li, J., Gao, L., Hu, X., Jia, J., & Wang, S. (2023). Effects of personal carbon trading scheme on consumers' new energy vehicles replacement decision: An economic trade-off analysis. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 101, 107108.
- Little, I. (1957). Classical growth. Oxford Economic Papers, 9(2), 152-177.
- Lupan, M., & Cozorici, A. N. (2015). Sustainable economic growth and eco-efficiency. *The USV Annals of Economics and Public Administration*, 15(1 (21)), 63-73.
- Mainali, B., Luukkanen, J., Silveira, S., & Kaivo-oja, J. (2018). Evaluating synergies and trade-offs among Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): Explorative analyses of development paths in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Sustainability, 10(3), 815.
- Majid, S., Zhang, X., Khaskheli, M. B., Hong, F., King, P. J. H., & Shamsi, I. H. (2023). Eco-Efficiency, Environmental and Sustainable Innovation in Recycling Energy and Their Effect on Business Performance: Evidence from European SMEs. Sustainability, 15(12), 9465.
- Malikane, C., & Chitambara, P. (2017). Foreign direct investment, democracy and economic growth in southern Africa. *African Development Review*, 29(1), 92-102.
- Mankiw, N. G., Romer, D., & Weil, D. N. (1992). A contribution to the empirics of economic growth. The quarterly journal of economics, 107(2), 407-437.

- Martínez-Palou, R., de Lourdes Mosqueira, M., Zapata-Rendón, B., Mar-Juárez, E., Bernal-Huicochea, C., de la Cruz Clavel-López, J., & Aburto, J. (2011). Transportation of heavy and extra-heavy crude oil by pipeline: A review. *Journal of petroleum science and engineering*, 75(3-4), 274-282.
- Maruyama, T., & Sumalee, A. (2007). Efficiency and equity comparison of cordon-and area-based road pricing schemes using a trip-chain equilibrium model. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 41(7), 655-671.

Michl, T. R., & Tavani, D. (2020). Path dependence and pandemics in a classical growth model. Available at SSRN.

- Michl, T. R., & Tavani, D. (2022). Path dependence and stagnation in a classical growth model. *Cambridge journal of economics*, 46(1), 195-218.
- Momber, I. (2015). Benefits of Coordinating plug-in electric vehicles in Electric power systems.
- Namadi, S. (2023). Strategic Management of Outsourcing Balancing Profitability and Cost Control in Corporate Operations. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, 6(4), 28-35.
- Naumov, S., Keith, D. R., & Sterman, J. D. (2023). Accelerating vehicle fleet turnover to achieve sustainable mobility goals. *Journal of Operations Management*, 69(1), 36-66.
- Naylor, A. W., & Ford, J. (2023). Vulnerability and loss and damage following the COP27 of the UN framework convention on climate change. *Regional Environmental Change*, 23(1).
- Notteboom, T., van der Lugt, L., van Saase, N., Sel, S., & Neyens, K. (2020). The role of seaports in green supply chain management: Initiatives, attitudes, and perspectives in Rotterdam, Antwerp, North Sea Port, and Zeebrugge. *Sustainability*, 12(4), 1688.
- Nudzor, H. (2023). From Flames to Fortune by Improving Fire Risk Management in the Case of Ghana. *Journal of Business* and Economic Options, 6(4), 8-13.
- Obergassel, W., Arens, C., Hermwille, L., Kreibich, N., Mersmann, F., Ott, H. E., & Wang-Helmreich, H. (2015). Phoenix from the ashes: an analysis of the Paris Agreement to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change; part 1.
- Orsi, F., Muratori, M., Rocco, M., Colombo, E., & Rizzoni, G. (2016). A multi-dimensional well-to-wheels analysis of passenger vehicles in different regions: Primary energy consumption, CO2 emissions, and economic cost. *Applied Energy*, 169, 197-209.
- Pan, S., Yu, W., Fulton, L. M., Jung, J., Choi, Y., & Gao, H. O. (2023). Impacts of the large-scale use of passenger electric vehicles on public health in 30 US. metropolitan areas. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 173, 113100.
- Pang, X., Mörtberg, U., & Brown, N. (2014). Energy models from a strategic environmental assessment perspective in an EU context—What is missing concerning renewables? *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 33, 353-362.
- Perkins, S., Wagner, N., & Leung, J. (2018). The social impacts of road pricing: summary and conclusions.
- Pradhan, A., Afrin, S., & Islam, R. (2012). contribution of remittances on current account of balance of payments in Bangladesh: VECM estimation. *International journal of applied research in business administration and economics*, 1(1), p70-77.
- Pradhan, P. (2021). *Electric Vehicle Charging landscape aiming for Self Reliant India*. Paper presented at the PREPARE@ u®| IEI Conferences.
- Psaraftis, H. N., & Kontovas, C. A. (2020a). Decarbonization of maritime transport: Is there light at the end of the tunnel? Sustainability, 13(1), 237.
- Psaraftis, H. N., & Kontovas, C. A. (2020b). Influence and transparency at the IMO: the name of the game. In (Vol. 22, pp. 151-172): Springer.
- Qi, T., & Weng, Y. (2016). Economic impacts of an international carbon market in achieving the INDC targets. *Energy*, 109, 886-893.
- Ramanayake, K. (2019). Critical introduction of Solow growth theory. Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences, 7(1), 43-56.
- Raugei, M., & Winfield, P. (2019). Prospective LCA of the production and EoL recycling of a novel type of Li-ion battery for electric vehicles. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 213, 926-932.
- Ríos-Mercado, R. Z., & Borraz-Sánchez, C. (2015). Optimization problems in natural gas transportation systems: A state-of-the-art review. *Applied Energy*, 147, 536-555.
- Rony, Z. I., Mofijur, M., Hasan, M., Rasul, M., Jahirul, M., Ahmed, S. F., . . . Show, P.-L. (2023). Alternative fuels to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from marine transport and promote UN sustainable development goals. *Fuel, 338*, 127220.
- Saeed, K. (2021). Limits to growth concepts in classical economics. In Feedback Economics (pp. 217-246): Springer.
- Sajid, A., & Ali, A. (2018). Inclusive Growth and Macroeconomic Situations in South Asia: An Empirical Analysis. *Bulletin* of Business and Economics (BBE), 7(3), 97-109.
- Salleh, I. ., & Sapengin, F. . (2023). Exploring the Impact of Technological Capability on Inter-Firm Relationships in Malaysian Manufacturing Supply Chains. *Journal of Policy Options*, 6(4), 40-48.
- Santos, G. (2004). Road pricing: Theory and evidence: Elsevier.
- Santos, R., Loh, W., Bannwart, A., & Trevisan, O. (2014). An overview of heavy oil properties and its recovery and transportation methods. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 31, 571-590.
- Sapkota, C. (2013). Remittances in Nepal: boon or bane? The Journal of Development Studies, 49(10), 1316-1331.

- Sarkodie, S. A., & Strezov, V. (2019). Effect of foreign direct investments, economic development and energy consumption on greenhouse gas emissions in developing countries. *Science of the Total Environment*, 646, 862-871.
- Schwanitz, V. J. (2013). Evaluating integrated assessment models of global climate change. *Environmental modelling & software*, 50, 120-131.
- Shaari, M. S., Hussain, N. E., Abdullah, H., & Kamil, S. (2014). Relationship among foreign direct investment, economic growth and CO2 emission: a panel data analysis. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 4(4), 706-715.
- Smith, T. W., Jalkanen, J., Anderson, B., Corbett, J., Faber, J., Hanayama, S., . . . Aldous, L. (2015). Third IMO greenhouse gas study 2014.
- Solow, R. M. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. The quarterly journal of economics, 70(1), 65-94.
- Sonthalia, A., & Kumar, N. (2019). Hydroprocessed vegetable oil as a fuel for transportation sector: A review. *Journal of the Energy Institute*, 92(1), 1-17.
- Tong, Y., Zhou, H., & Jiang, L. (2021). Exploring the transition effects of foreign direct investment on the eco-efficiency of Chinese cities: Based on multi-source data and panel smooth transition regression models. *Ecological indicators*, 121, 107073.
- Twum, F. A., Long, X., Salman, M., Mensah, C. N., Kankam, W. A., & Tachie, A. K. (2021). The influence of technological innovation and human capital on environmental efficiency among different regions in Asia-Pacific. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28, 17119-17131.
- Uteng, T. P. (1997). State of the Knowledge Study on Gender and Transport in. choices, 27, 539-560.
- Wang, H., Shi, W., Xue, H., He, W., & Liu, Y. (2022). Performance Evaluation of Fee-Charging Policies to Reduce the Carbon Emissions of Urban Transportation in China. *Atmosphere*, 13(12), 2095.
- Wang, L., Xue, X., Zhao, Z., Wang, Y., & Zeng, Z. (2020). Finding the de-carbonization potentials in the transport sector: application of scenario analysis with a hybrid prediction model. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27, 21762-21776.
- Wang, L., Zhao, Z., Wang, X., & Xue, X. (2021). Transportation de-carbonization pathways and effect in China: A systematic analysis using STIRPAT-SD model. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 288, 125574.
- Wang, Q., Zhang, M., & Wang, W. (2021). Analysis of the impact of foreign direct investment on urbanization in China from the perspective of "circular economy". *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28, 22380-22391.
- Weng, Z., Ma, Z., Xie, Y., & Cheng, C. (2022). Effect of China's carbon market on the promotion of green technological innovation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 373, 133820.
- Williams, J. H., DeBenedictis, A., Ghanadan, R., Mahone, A., Moore, J., Morrow III, W. R., . . . Torn, M. S. (2012). The technology path to deep greenhouse gas emissions cuts by 2050: the pivotal role of electricity. *science*, 335(6064), 53-59.
- Wu, Y., Peng, B., & Lao, Y. (2023). The Emission Reduction Effect of Financial Agglomeration under China's Carbon Peak and Neutrality Goals. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(2), 950.
- Xing, L., Udemba, E. N., Tosun, M., Abdallah, I., & Boukhris, I. (2023). Sustainable development policies of renewable energy and technological innovation toward climate and sustainable development goals. *Sustainable Development*.
- Yang, C., & Masron, T. A. (2022). Impact of digital finance on energy efficiency in the context of green sustainable development. Sustainability, 14(18), 11250.
- Yang, C., McCollum, D., McCarthy, R., & Leighty, W. (2009). Meeting an 80% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from transportation by 2050: A case study in California. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 14(3), 147-156.
- Zafar, M. W., Qin, Q., & Zaidi, S. A. H. (2020). Foreign direct investment and education as determinants of environmental quality: The importance of post Paris Agreement (COP21). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 270, 110827.
- Zakari, A., Khan, I., Tan, D., Alvarado, R., & Dagar, V. (2022). Energy efficiency and sustainable development goals (SDGs). *Energy*, 239, 122365.
- Zetlin-Jones, A., & Shourideh, A. (2017). External financing and the role of financial frictions over the business cycle: Measurement and theory. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 92, 1-15.
- Zhang, C., & Zhou, X. (2016). Does foreign direct investment lead to lower CO2 emissions? Evidence from a regional analysis in China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 58, 943-951.
- Zhang, L., Saydaliev, H. B., & Ma, X. (2022). Does green finance investment and technological innovation improve renewable energy efficiency and sustainable development goals. *Renewable Energy*, 193, 991-1000.
- Zhang, W., He, X., & Liu, X. (2023). Does green finance improve the industrial eco-efficiency in China? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *30*(6), 14484-14496.
- Zhang, X., Qi, T.-y., Ou, X.-m., & Zhang, X.-l. (2017). The role of multi-region integrated emissions trading scheme: A computable general equilibrium analysis. *Applied Energy*, 185, 1860-1868.
- Zheng, H., Zhang, L., Song, W., & Mu, H. (2023). Pollution heaven or pollution halo? Assessing the role of heterogeneous environmental regulation in the impact of foreign direct investment on green economic efficiency. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(8), 21619-21637.